From:	Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com></murrayheightsky@gmail.com>
Sent:	Tuesday, January 31, 2023 11:42 AM
To:	Christi Lanier-Robinson
Cc:	Amanda Bell; Ashburner, Clifford; Chris Dischinger; Hawkins, Tammy; Johnson, Shannon Madonna.senn@yahoo.con; Melinda Hoskins; Sarah Harned; Smbogard@gmail.com; St Germain, Dante; Tanya Schantz; Weathers, Chuck; brandi2164@gmail.com; dalawolfe@att.net; deniselet@att.net; grdavis2004@yahoo.com; heap8506@yahoo.com
	jcaulk31@outlook.com; jm.kayse3@gmail.com; theboobean@yahoo.com;
Subject:	tr5mill@aol.com Re: 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd
attachments, or g	email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links, open give away private information unless you recognize the sender's email w the content is safe.
Christi,	
	vas true. I have screenshots of my review and my commentsand I will include links to every article emarks in my email to Metro Council and the media.
Nice try.	
those affected by you	r petty act only drives me to continue to speak out about your shady history and indifference to ir actions. It would be laughable, except for that fact that your development will destroy a home at I have loved for 24 years and you all have made it crystal clear that you have zero regard for our er.
	e I will be forced into moving because of the monstrosity of a development you are putting in my my full commitment to speak out against LDG.
Have a great day!	
Tina Burnell	
On Tue. Jan 31. 2023	at 10:32 AM Christi Lanier-Robinson < <a href="mailto:crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com">crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com</a> wrote:
	and a second sec
Ms. Burnell-	
An it wallstee to	anno anto no condina bioglina von an Essabasi, van ana sama de la Malada de la The
As it relates to your	comments regarding blocking you on Facebook, you are correct we did block you. The comments

you posted had nothing to do with this project and were comments that have proven to be untrue about another development. Just as you have a right as a private citizen to block other people from posting comments on your

Facebook page, we as a private company have the same right.

Have a great day Ms. Burnell.
Best,
Christi
From: Ashburner, Clifford < <u>Clifford.Ashburner@DINSMORE.COM</u> > Sent: Monday, January 30, 2023 5:50 PM  To: Murray Heights < <u>murrayheightsky@gmail.com</u> >; St Germain, Dante < <u>Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov</u> > Cc: Amanda Bell < <u>bell.amandajo@gmail.com</u> >; Chris Dischinger < <u>CDischinger@ldgdevelopment.com</u> >; Christi Lanier-Robinson < <u>crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com</u> >; Hawkins, Tammy < <u>Tammy.Hawkins@louisvilleky.gov</u> >; Johnson, Shannon < <u>Shannon.Johnson@DINSMORE.COM</u> >; Madonna.senn@yahoo.con; Melinda Hoskins < <u>melindahoskins69@gmail.com</u> >; Sarah Harned < <u>inswsn@gmail.com</u> >; Tanya Schantz < <u>hellraiser0509@gmail.com</u> >; Weathers, Chuck < <u>Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov</u> >; brandi2164@gmail.com; dalawolfe@att.net; deniselet@att.net; grdavis2004@yahoo.com; heap8506@yahoo.com; jcaulk31@outlook.com; jm.kayse3@gmail.com; theboobean@yahoo.com; tr5mill@aol.com  Subject: RE: 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd
Ms. Burnell:
I have some of the answers you've requested and a thought on how to find information in the future on this case.
First, all records submitted are available by going to <a href="www.louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design">www.louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design</a> and clicking on the "Search Planning Cases" link on the homepage. From there, you should be able to search by address and find information we have filed related to the Terry Road site.
Second, as to your specific questions, I've addressed them in the order presented below:
<ol> <li>We do not believe we can move the buildings as requested and maintain the open space, buffering and parking areas.</li> </ol>

- 1. We cannot agree to lower the height of the buildings referenced. In addition, if the buildings were lowered from 3 stories to 2 in that area, the setback requirement would be reduced from 50' to 15'. Reducing the height of those buildings would potentially result in them moving closer to your property line than proposed.
- 2. (addressed the second "2" in your email and 3) The screening proposed will be according to the LDC, which will include evergreens, deciduous trees, and a 6' solid screen of some kind. The screen can either be a fence or solid plantings. A final design of this area hasn't been arrived at yet. If you have suggestions on the areas where your property and the subject property touch, please feel free to pass them on.
- 3. There are no plans for sidewalks within the neighborhood, just the connection to the stub at Harvest.
- 4. The traffic study is available at the Planning Commission's site above. At the conclusion of the recent LD&T meeting, it was suggested that the proposal connect Joy and Murray through the subject property. Our team is evaluating that right now. This proposed route, demanded by Louisville Metro Public Works, may affect the traffic study and turn lanes proposed in Terry Road.
- 5. The current breakdown of bedrooms is: 84 1-bedroom units; 96 2-bedroom units; and 36 3-bedroom units.

Our next meeting with the Land Development & Transportation Committee is February 9 at 1:00. I'm happy to provide additional feedback should you have follow up questions regarding the property or the proposed development. However, I believe the best way for you to find information regarding the case is through Metro's online system (linked above).

#### Cliff Ashburner

From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com >

**Sent:** Friday, January 27, 2023 3:17 PM

To: St Germain, Dante < Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov>

**Cc:** Amanda Bell < bell.amandajo@gmail.com >; Ashburner, Clifford < Clifford.Ashburner@DINSMORE.COM >; Chris Dischinger < cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com >; Christi Lanier-Robinson < crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com >; Hawkins, Tammy < Tammy.Hawkins@louisvilleky.gov >; Johnson, Shannon < Shannon.Johnson@DINSMORE.COM >; Madonna.senn@yahoo.con; Melinda Hoskins < melindahoskins69@gmail.com >; Sarah Harned < inswsn@gmail.com >; Tanya Schantz < hellraiser0509@gmail.com >; Weathers, Chuck < Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov >; brandi2164@gmail.com; dalawolfe@att.net; deniselet@att.net; grdavis2004@yahoo.com; heap8506@yahoo.com; jcaulk31@outlook.com; jm.kayse3@gmail.com; theboobean@yahoo.com; tr5mill@aol.com

**Subject:** Re: 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd

It has been a week. It would be great if the applicant would address the questions submitted.

Tina Burnell

The applicant can address any questions you may have regarding the plan.

Dante St. Germain, AICP

Planner II

Planning & Design Services

Department of Develop Louisville

LOUISVILLE FORWARD

444 South Fifth Street, Suite 300

Louisville, KY 40202

(502) 574-4388

https://louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design





Stay aware of new development in your area! Sign up for Gov Delivery notifications at:

https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/KYLOUISVILLE/subscriber/new

From: Tanya Schantz < hellraiser0509@gmail.com > Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2023 12:12 PM To: St Germain, Dante < Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov > Cc: Amanda Bell < bell.amandajo@gmail.com >; Ashburner, Clifford < Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com >; Chris Dischinger < cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com >; Christi Lanier-Robinson < crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com >; Hawkins, Tammy < Tammy.Hawkins@louisvilleky.gov >; Johnson, Shannon < Shannon.Johnson@dinsmore.com >; Madonna.senn@yahoo.con; Melinda Hoskins < melindahoskins69@gmail.com >; Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com >; Sarah Harned < inswsn@gmail.com >; Weathers, Chuck < Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov >; brandi2164@gmail.com; dalawolfe@att.net; deniselet@att.net; grdavis2004@yahoo.com; heap8506@yahoo.com; jcaulk31@outlook.com; jm.kayse3@gmail.com; theboobean@yahoo.com; tr5mill@aol.com Subject: Re: 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links, open attachments, or give away private information unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe.
Good afternoon all.
When can expect an update/answer to the questions submitted.
Thanks
Tanya Schantz.
On Mon, Jan 23, 2023 at 8:10 AM St Germain, Dante < <a href="main@louisvilleky.gov">Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov</a> > wrote:

Thank you for your comments on this case. I will add them to the record.

Dante St. Germain, AICP

Planner II

Planning & Design Services

Department of Develop Louisville

LOUISVILLE FORWARD

444 South Fifth Street, Suite 300

Louisville, KY 40202

(502) 574-4388

https://louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design





Stay aware of new development in your area! Sign up for Gov Delivery notifications at:

https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/KYLOUISVILLE/subscriber/new

From: Murray Heights < <u>murrayheightsky@gmail.com</u> > Sent: Friday, January 20, 2023 6:34 PM To: Ashburner, Clifford < <u>Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com</u> >; Chris Dischinger < <u>cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com</u> >; Christi Lanier-Robinson < <u>crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com</u> >; Johnson, Shannon < <u>Shannon.Johnson@dinsmore.com</u> >; St Germain, Dante < <u>Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov</u> >; Weathers, Chuck < <u>Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov</u> >; Hawkins, Tammy < <u>Tammy.Hawkins@louisvilleky.gov</u> > Cc: Amanda Bell < <u>bell.amandajo@gmail.com</u> >; Hellraiser0509@gmail.com; Madonna.senn@yahoo.con; Melinda Hoskins < <u>melindahoskins69@gmail.com</u> >; Sarah Harned < <u>inswsn@gmail.com</u> >; brandi2164@gmail.com; dalawolfe@att.net; deniselet@att.net; grdavis2004@yahoo.com; heap8506@yahoo.com; jcaulk31@outlook.com; jm.kayse3@gmail.com; theboobean@yahoo.com; tr5mill@aol.com Subject: 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links, open attachments, or give away private information unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe.
We remain opposed to the proposed high- density apartment development.
Walmart across the street on Raggard recently closed because it was the worst in the city - theft was rampant and multiple police runs made daily. The nearby Riverport Apartments require grounds security and there are still multiple complaints made to the Council office and police dept not to mention the numerous online complaints regarding maintenance issues. We have zero expectation or reason to believe this proposed complex will be any different.
With that said
1. We ask that you consider putting more distance between the apartments and the property line beyond the proposed mere 50ft. Both complexes proposed for Prospect planned for more distance - we would appreciate the same.
2 Please consider lowering the apartments (shown on plans as #3 & #4) that run directly behind homes on Huberta from 3-story to 2-story. Those will tower over the single story houses hereand 216 units outnumber the homes than we have in the entire neighborhood.
2. Are there any plans for a fence between the complex and surrounding homes?

3 Any plans to add fast growing tall buffer trees along the property line between homes and apartments?
4. With the newly proposed pedestrian access to Harvest - are there plans for sidewalks? Harvest, Lemmah, Joy, and a portion of Huberta that are all closer to the proposed complex do not have sidewalks. Nor are there sidewalks along Terry Rd in this area.
5. Is there a report or any new information on the traffic study that was suppose to be done?
6. What is the breakdown on the number of bedrooms for the proposed units?
Tina Burnell
502-365-6949
The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.
NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission from the law firm of Dinsmore & Shohl may constitute an attorney- client communication that is privileged at law. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying it, and notify the sender by reply e-mail, so that our address record can be corrected.

From: Ashburner, Clifford <Clifford.Ashburner@DINSMORE.COM>

**Sent:** Monday, January 30, 2023 5:50 PM **To:** Murray Heights; St Germain, Dante

**Cc:** Amanda Bell; Chris Dischinger; Christi Lanier-Robinson; Hawkins, Tammy; Johnson,

Shannon; Madonna.senn@yahoo.con; Melinda Hoskins; Sarah Harned; Tanya Schantz; Weathers, Chuck; brandi2164@gmail.com; dalawolfe@att.net; deniselet@att.net; grdavis2004@yahoo.com; heap8506@yahoo.com; jcaulk31@outlook.com; jm.kayse3

@gmail.com; theboobean@yahoo.com; tr5mill@aol.com

Subject: RE: 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links, open attachments, or give away private information unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe.

#### Ms. Burnell:

I have some of the answers you've requested and a thought on how to find information in the future on this case.

First, all records submitted are available by going to <a href="www.louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design">www.louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design</a> and clicking on the "Search Planning Cases" link on the homepage. From there, you should be able to search by address and find information we have filed related to the Terry Road site.

Second, as to your specific questions, I've addressed them in the order presented below:

- 1. We do not believe we can move the buildings as requested and maintain the open space, buffering and parking areas.
- 2. We cannot agree to lower the height of the buildings referenced. In addition, if the buildings were lowered from 3 stories to 2 in that area, the setback requirement would be reduced from 50' to 15'. Reducing the height of those buildings would potentially result in them moving closer to your property line than proposed.
- 3. (addressed the second "2" in your email and 3) The screening proposed will be according to the LDC, which will include evergreens, deciduous trees, and a 6' solid screen of some kind. The screen can either be a fence or solid plantings. A final design of this area hasn't been arrived at yet. If you have suggestions on the areas where your property and the subject property touch, please feel free to pass them on.
- 4. There are no plans for sidewalks within the neighborhood, just the connection to the stub at Harvest.
- 5. The traffic study is available at the Planning Commission's site above. At the conclusion of the recent LD&T meeting, it was suggested that the proposal connect Joy and Murray through the subject property. Our team is evaluating that right now. This proposed route, demanded by Louisville Metro Public Works, may affect the traffic study and turn lanes proposed in Terry Road.
- 6. The current breakdown of bedrooms is: 84 1-bedroom units; 96 2-bedroom units; and 36 3-bedroom units.

Our next meeting with the Land Development & Transportation Committee is February 9 at 1:00. I'm happy to provide additional feedback should you have follow up questions regarding the property or the proposed development. However, I believe the best way for you to find information regarding the case is through Metro's online system (linked above).

#### Cliff Ashburner

From: Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Friday, January 27, 2023 3:17 PM

To: St Germain, Dante < Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov>

**Cc:** Amanda Bell <bell.amandajo@gmail.com>; Ashburner, Clifford <Clifford.Ashburner@DINSMORE.COM>; Chris Dischinger <cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com>; Christi Lanier-Robinson <crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com>; Hawkins, Tammy <Tammy.Hawkins@louisvilleky.gov>; Johnson, Shannon <Shannon.Johnson@DINSMORE.COM>; Madonna.senn@yahoo.con; Melinda Hoskins <melindahoskins69@gmail.com>; Sarah Harned <inswsn@gmail.com>; Tanya Schantz <hellraiser0509@gmail.com>; Weathers, Chuck <Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov>; brandi2164@gmail.com; dalawolfe@att.net; deniselet@att.net; grdavis2004@yahoo.com; heap8506@yahoo.com; jcaulk31@outlook.com; jm.kayse3@gmail.com; theboobean@yahoo.com; tr5mill@aol.com

Subject: Re: 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd

It has been a week. It would be great if the applicant would address the questions submitted.

Tina Burnell

On Wed, Jan 25, 2023 at 12:15 PM St Germain, Dante < Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov> wrote:

The applicant can address any questions you may have regarding the plan.

Dante St. Germain, AICP

Planner II

Planning & Design Services

Department of Develop Louisville

LOUISVILLE FORWARD

444 South Fifth Street, Suite 300

Louisville, KY 40202

(502) 574-4388





Stay aware of new development in your area! Sign up for Gov Delivery notifications at:

https://public.govdeliverv.com/accounts/KYLOUISVILLE/subscriber/new

From: Tanya Schantz < <a href="https://hellraiser0509@gmail.com">hellraiser0509@gmail.com</a>>

Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2023 12:12 PM

To: St Germain, Dante < Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov>

Cc: Amanda Bell <bell.amandajo@gmail.com>; Ashburner, Clifford <Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com>;

Chris Dischinger <cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com>; Christi Lanier-Robinson

<crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com>; Hawkins, Tammy <Tammy.Hawkins@louisvilleky.gov>; Johnson,

Shannon < Shannon. Johnson@dinsmore.com>; Madonna.senn@yahoo.con; Melinda Hoskins

<melindahoskins69@gmail.com>; Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com>; Sarah Harned

<inswsn@gmail.com>; Weathers, Chuck <Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov>; brandi2164@gmail.com;


dalawolfe@att.net; deniselet@att.net; grdavis2004@yahoo.com; heap8506@yahoo.com;

jcaulk31@outlook.com; jm.kayse3@gmail.com; theboobean@yahoo.com; tr5mill@aol.com

Subject: Re: 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links, open attachments, or give away private information unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon all.







Stay aware of new development in your area! Sign up for Gov Delivery notifications at:

https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/KYLOUISVILLE/subscriber/new

From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com >

**Sent:** Friday, January 20, 2023 6:34 PM

To: Ashburner, Clifford < Clifford. Ashburner@dinsmore.com >; Chris Dischinger

<a href="mainto:cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com">cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com</a>; Christi Lanier-Robinson <a href="mainto:crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com">crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com</a>; Johnson, Shannon.Johnson@dinsmore.com</a>; St Germain, Dante <Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov</a>;

Weathers, Chuck < Charles. Weathers@louisvilleky.gov >; Hawkins, Tammy

<Tammy.Hawkins@louisvilleky.gov>

Cc: Amanda Bell <br/>
Sell.amandajo@gmail.com>; Hellraiser0509@gmail.com; Madonna.senn@yahoo.con;

 $Melinda\ Hoskins < \underline{melindahoskins69@gmail.com} > ;\ Sarah\ Harned < \underline{inswsn@gmail.com} > ;$ 

brandi2164@gmail.com; dalawolfe@att.net; deniselet@att.net; grdavis2004@yahoo.com;

heap8506@yahoo.com; jcaulk31@outlook.com; jm.kayse3@gmail.com; theboobean@yahoo.com;

tr5mill@aol.com

Subject: 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links, open attachments, or give away private information unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe.

We remain opposed to the proposed high-density apartment development.

Walmart across the street on Raggard recently closed because it was the worst in the city - theft was rampant and multiple police runs made daily. The nearby Riverport Apartments require grounds security and there are

still multiple complaints made to the Council office and police dept not to mention the numerous online complaints regarding maintenance issues. We have zero expectation or reason to believe this proposed complex will be any different.
With that said
1. We ask that you consider putting more distance between the apartments and the property line beyond the proposed mere 50ft. Both complexes proposed for Prospect planned for more distance - we would appreciate the same.
2 Please consider lowering the apartments (shown on plans as #3 & #4) that run directly behind homes on Huberta from 3-story to 2-story. Those will tower over the single story houses hereand 216 units outnumber the homes than we have in the entire neighborhood.
2. Are there any plans for a fence between the complex and surrounding homes?
3 Any plans to add fast growing tall buffer trees along the property line between homes and apartments?
4. With the newly proposed pedestrian access to Harvest - are there plans for sidewalks? Harvest, Lemmah, Joy, and a portion of Huberta that are all closer to the proposed complex do not have sidewalks. Nor are there sidewalks along Terry Rd in this area.
5. Is there a report or any new information on the traffic study that was suppose to be done?
6. What is the breakdown on the number of bedrooms for the proposed units?
Tina Burnell
502-365-6949

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission from the law firm of Dinsmore & Shohl may constitute an attorney-client communication that is privileged at law. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying it, and notify the sender by reply e-mail, so that our address record can be corrected.

From:	Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com></murrayheightsky@gmail.com>
Sent:	Monday, January 30, 2023 9:48 AM
То:	St Germain, Dante
Cc:	Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com; Hawkins, Tammy; Shannon.Johnson@dinsmore.com; Weathers, Chuck; cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com; crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com; donna.rock5
Subject:	Re: 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd
	came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links, open away private information unless you recognize the sender's email e content is safe.
The applicant was addresse subsequent emails to that e	ed in the original email and they, again, have not responded to any of the questions, nor the end.
Please let the record show Louisville.	their indifference, lack of response, and lack of respect for those of us in the south end of
to address questions, they their FB page because they concerns in the process. I g	o all of Metro Council and the local media. This is not acceptable. They have lied, they refuse ignored emails from several residents asking for an update, (and they also blocked me from don't want the truth out) - their arrogance is obvious and they clearly don't care about our usess they are bias toward people in the south end. Maybe they think we're stupid or too it to be bothered with. Sure seems that way.
Maybe a good Op-Ed to the	e Courier Journal is in order.
Tina Burnell	
On Mon, Jan 30, 2023 at 8:	52 AM St Germain, Dante < <u>Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov</u> > wrote:
Any questions you may ha to the record.	ive regarding this development should be directed to the applicant. I will add your comments

#### Dante St. Germain, AICP

Planner II

Planning & Design Services

Department of Develop Louisville

LOUISVILLE FORWARD

444 South Fifth Street, Suite 300

Louisville, KY 40202

(502) 574-4388

https://louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design





Stay aware of new development in your area! Sign up for Gov Delivery notifications at:

https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/KYLOUISVILLE/subscriber/new

From: donna.rock5 < donna.rock5@att.net > Sent: Friday, January 27, 2023 5:03 PM


To: Hawkins, Tammy < <a href="mailto:Tammy.Hawkins@louisvilleky.gov">To: Hawkins, Tammy < <a href="mailto:Tammy.Hawkins@louisvilleky.gov">To: Hawkins, Tammy < <a href="mailto:Tammy.Hawkins@louisvilleky.gov">To: Hawkins, Tammy.Hawkins@louisvilleky.gov</a>; St

Germain, Dante < <u>Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov</u>>; <u>Shannon.Johnson@dinsmore.com</u>;

crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com; Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com; cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com;

murrayheightsky@gmail.com

Subject: Fwd: 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd




With that said
1. We ask that you consider putting more distance between the apartments and the property line beyond the proposed mere 50ft. Both complexes proposed for Prospect planned for more distance - we would appreciate the same.
2 Please consider lowering the apartments (shown on plans as #3 & #4) that run directly behind homes on Huberta from 3-story to 2-story. Those will tower over the single story houses hereand 216 units outnumber the homes than we have in the entire neighborhood.
2. Are there any plans for a fence between the complex and surrounding homes?
3 Any plans to add fast growing tall buffer trees along the property line between homes and apartments?
4. With the newly proposed pedestrian access to Harvest - are there plans for sidewalks? Harvest, Lemmah, Joy, and a portion of Huberta that are all closer to the proposed complex do not have sidewalks. Nor are there sidewalks along Terry Rd in this area.
5. Is there a report or any new information on the traffic study that was suppose to be done?
6. What is the breakdown on the number of bedrooms for the proposed units?
Donna Rock
502-419-5085
The information contained in this communication from the conder is confidential. It is intended cololy for weakly the
The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure,

5


copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

	-		_
From:	<b>n:</b> Patti Heat	h <heap8506@yahoo.com></heap8506@yahoo.com>	
Sent:		nuary 27, 2023 4:49 PM	
To:	Murray He		
Cc:	Robinson; Hoskins; S dalawolfed	n, Dante; Amanda Bell; Ashburner, Clifford; Chris Dischinger; Christi Lanier-Hawkins, Tammy; Johnson, Shannon; Madonna.senn@yahoo.con; Melinda farah Harned; Tanya Schantz; Weathers, Chuck; brandi2164@gmail.com; @att.net; deniselet@att.net; grdavis2004@yahoo.com; jcaulk31@outlook.cor@gmail.com; theboobean@yahoo.com; tr5mill@aol.com	m
Subjec	Re: 22-ZO	NE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd	
attacl		utside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links, open information unless you recognize the sender's email afe.	
Thank	n can we expect a response? lk you Heath		_
Sent fr	from my iPhone		
	On Jan 27, 2023, at 3:17 PM, Murray	y Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com> wrote:</murrayheightsky@gmail.com>	
	It has been a week. It would be grea	t if the applicant would address the questions submitted.	
	Tina Burnell		
	On Wed, Jan 25, 2023 at 12:15 PM S	it Germain, Dante < <u>Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov</u> > wrote:	
	The applicant can address any ques	stions you may have regarding the plan.	



theboobean@yahoo.com; tr5mill@aol.com
Subject: Re: 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd

Planner II



CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links, open attachments, or give away private information unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe.
We remain opposed to the proposed high- density apartment development.
Walmart across the street on Raggard recently closed because it was the worst in the city - theft was rampant and multiple police runs made daily. The nearby Riverport Apartments require grounds security and there are still multiple complaints made to the Council office and police dept not to mention the numerous online complaints regarding maintenance issues. We have zero expectation or reason to believe this proposed complex will be any different.
With that said
1. We ask that you consider putting more distance between the apartments and the property line beyond the proposed mere 50ft. Both complexes proposed for Prospect planned for more distance - we would appreciate the same.
2 Please consider lowering the apartments (shown on plans as #3 & #4) that run directly behind homes on Huberta from 3-story to 2-story. Those will tower over the single story houses hereand 216 units outnumber the homes than we have in the entire neighborhood.
2. Are there any plans for a fence between the complex and surrounding homes?
3 Any plans to add fast growing tall buffer trees along the property line between homes and apartments?
4. With the newly proposed pedestrian access to Harvest - are there plans for sidewalks? Harvest, Lemmah, Joy, and a portion of Huberta that are all closer to the proposed complex do not have sidewalks. Nor are there sidewalks along Terry Rd in this area.
5. Is there a report or any new information on the traffic study that was suppose to be done?

6. What is the breakdown on the number of bedrooms for the proposed units?
Tina Burnell
502-365-6949
The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

From:	Tracy Miller <tr5mill@aol.com></tr5mill@aol.com>
Sent:	Friday, January 27, 2023 6:31 PM

**To:** Patti Heath

**Cc:** Murray Heights; St Germain, Dante; Amanda Bell; Ashburner, Clifford; Chris Dischinger;

Christi Lanier-Robinson; Hawkins, Tammy; Johnson, Shannon;

Madonna.senn@yahoo.con; Melinda Hoskins; Sarah Harned; Tanya Schantz; Weathers, Chuck; brandi2164@gmail.com; dalawolfe@att.net; deniselet@att.net; grdavis2004 @yahoo.com; jcaulk31@outlook.com; jm.kayse3@gmail.com; theboobean@yahoo.com

**Subject:** Re: 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links, open attachments, or give away private information unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe.

My concern now who has purchased the Walmart location at 7100 Raggard Road. I hear the rumor that South East Christian has purchased the location. Also will the developers still meet the requirements to get state and federal funding since the retail site is gone.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 27, 2023, at 4:49 PM, Patti Heath <heap8506@yahoo.com> wrote:

When can we expect a response? Thank you Patti Heath


Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 27, 2023, at 3:17 PM, Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com> wrote:


It has been a week. It would be great if the applicant would address the questions submitted.

Tina Burnell

On Wed, Jan 25, 2023 at 12:15 PM St Germain, Dante <Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov> wrote:



From: Tanya Schantz < hellraiser0509@gmail.com > Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2023 12:12 PM To: St Germain, Dante < Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov > Cc: Amanda Bell < bell.amandajo@gmail.com >; Ashburner, Clifford < Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com >; Chris Dischinger < cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com >; Christi Lanier-Robinson < crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com >; Hawkins, Tammy < Tammy.Hawkins@louisvilleky.gov >; Johnson, Shannon < Shannon.Johnson@dinsmore.com >; Madonna.senn@yahoo.con; Melinda Hoskins < melindahoskins69@gmail.com >; Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com >; Sarah Harned < inswsn@gmail.com >; Weathers, Chuck < Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov >; brandi2164@gmail.com; dalawolfe@att.net; deniselet@att.net; grdavis2004@yahoo.com; heap8506@yahoo.com; jcaulk31@outlook.com; jm.kayse3@gmail.com; theboobean@yahoo.com;
tr5mill@aol.com
<b>Subject:</b> Re: 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links, open attachments, or give away private information unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe.
Good afternoon all.
When can expect an update/answer to the questions submitted.
Thanks
Tanya Schantz.



**From:** Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com >

Sent: Friday, January 20, 2023 6:34 PM

**To:** Ashburner, Clifford < <a href="mailto:clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com">clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com</a>>; Chris Dischinger

< cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com >; Christi Lanier-Robinson

<crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com>; Johnson, Shannon

<<u>Shannon.Johnson@dinsmore.com</u>>; St Germain, Dante

<Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov>; Weathers, Chuck

<Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov>; Hawkins, Tammy

<Tammy.Hawkins@louisvilleky.gov>

Cc: Amanda Bell <bell.amandajo@gmail.com>; Hellraiser0509@gmail.com;

Madonna.senn@yahoo.con; Melinda Hoskins < melindahoskins69@gmail.com >; Sarah

Harned < inswsn@gmail.com >; brandi2164@gmail.com; dalawolfe@att.net;

deniselet@att.net; grdavis2004@yahoo.com; heap8506@yahoo.com;

jcaulk31@outlook.com; jm.kayse3@gmail.com; theboobean@yahoo.com;

tr5mill@aol.com

**Subject:** 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links, open attachments, or give away private information unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe.

We remain opposed to the proposed high-density apartment development.

Walmart across the street on Raggard recently closed because it was the worst in the city - theft was rampant and multiple police runs made daily. The nearby Riverport Apartments require grounds security and there are still multiple complaints made to the Council office and police dept. - not to mention the numerous online complaints regarding maintenance issues. We have zero expectation or reason to believe this proposed complex will be any different.

With that said...

1. We ask that you consider putting more distance between the apartments and the property line beyond the proposed mere 50ft. Both complexes proposed for Prospect planned for more distance - we would appreciate the same.

2 Please consider lowering the apartments (shown on plans as #3 & #4) that run directly behind homes on Huberta from 3-story to 2-story. Those will tower over the single story houses hereand 216 units outnumber the homes than we have in the entire neighborhood.
2. Are there any plans for a fence between the complex and surrounding homes?
3 Any plans to add fast growing tall buffer trees along the property line between homes and apartments?
4. With the newly proposed pedestrian access to Harvest - are there plans for sidewalks? Harvest, Lemmah, Joy, and a portion of Huberta that are all closer to the proposed complex do not have sidewalks. Nor are there sidewalks along Terry Rd in this area.
5. Is there a report or any new information on the traffic study that was suppose to be done?
6. What is the breakdown on the number of bedrooms for the proposed units?
Tina Burnell
502-365-6949
The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

From: donna.rock5 <donna.rock5@att.net>
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2023 5:03 PM

To: Hawkins, Tammy; Weathers, Chuck; St Germain, Dante;

Shannon.Johnson@dinsmore.com; crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com; Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com; cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com;

murrayheightsky@gmail.com

**Subject:** Fwd: 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links, open attachments, or give away private information unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe.

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

All,

When can we expect a response regarding our request below. To date we have not received any responses to our questions.

This lack of response reflects poorly on the part of the developer and our government representatives.

As a homeowner, I am greatly affected by this proposal and would appreciate your consideration to the concerns we have.

We remain opposed to the proposed high-density apartment development.

Walmart across the street on Raggard recently closed because it was the worst in the city - theft was rampant and multiple police runs made daily. The nearby Riverport Apartments require grounds security and there are still multiple complaints made to the Council office and police dept. - not to mention the numerous online complaints regarding maintenance issues. We have zero expectation or reason to believe this proposed complex will be any different.

With that said...

- 1. We ask that you consider putting more distance between the apartments and the property line beyond the proposed mere 50ft. Both complexes proposed for Prospect planned for more distance we would appreciate the same.
- 2 Please consider lowering the apartments (shown on plans as #3 & #4) that run directly behind homes on Huberta from 3-story to 2-story. Those will tower over the single story houses here...and 216 units outnumber the homes than we have in the entire neighborhood.
- 2. Are there any plans for a fence between the complex and surrounding homes?

- 3 Any plans to add fast growing tall buffer trees along the property line between homes and apartments?
- 4. With the newly proposed pedestrian access to Harvest are there plans for sidewalks? Harvest, Lemmah, Joy, and a portion of Huberta that are all closer to the proposed complex do not have sidewalks. Nor are there sidewalks along Terry Rd in this area.
- 5. Is there a report or any new information on the traffic study that was suppose to be done?
- 6. What is the breakdown on the number of bedrooms for the proposed units?

Donna Rock 502-419-5085

**From:** Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Friday, January 20, 2023 6:34 PM

**To:** Ashburner, Clifford; Chris Dischinger; Christi Lanier-Robinson; Johnson, Shannon; St

Germain, Dante; Weathers, Chuck; Hawkins, Tammy

**Cc:** Amanda Bell; Hellraiser0509@gmail.com; Madonna.senn@yahoo.con; Melinda Hoskins;

Sarah Harned; brandi2164@gmail.com; dalawolfe@att.net; deniselet@att.net;

grdavis2004@yahoo.com; heap8506@yahoo.com; jcaulk31@outlook.com; jm.kayse3

@gmail.com; theboobean@yahoo.com; tr5mill@aol.com

**Subject:** 22-ZONE-0050 - 5127 Terry Rd

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links, open attachments, or give away private information unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe.

We remain opposed to the proposed high-density apartment development.

Walmart across the street on Raggard recently closed because it was the worst in the city - theft was rampant and multiple police runs made daily. The nearby Riverport Apartments require grounds security and there are still multiple complaints made to the Council office and police dept. - not to mention the numerous online complaints regarding maintenance issues. We have zero expectation or reason to believe this proposed complex will be any different.

With that said...

- 1. We ask that you consider putting more distance between the apartments and the property line beyond the proposed mere 50ft. Both complexes proposed for Prospect planned for more distance we would appreciate the same.
- 2 Please consider lowering the apartments (shown on plans as #3 & #4) that run directly behind homes on Huberta from 3-story to 2-story. Those will tower over the single story houses here...and 216 units outnumber the homes than we have in the entire neighborhood.
- 2. Are there any plans for a fence between the complex and surrounding homes?
- 3 Any plans to add fast growing tall buffer trees along the property line between homes and apartments?
- 4. With the newly proposed pedestrian access to Harvest are there plans for sidewalks? Harvest, Lemmah, Joy, and a portion of Huberta that are all closer to the proposed complex do not have sidewalks. Nor are there sidewalks along Terry Rd in this area.
- 5. Is there a report or any new information on the traffic study that was suppose to be done?
- 6. What is the breakdown on the number of bedrooms for the proposed units?

Tina Burnell 502-365-6949

From: Patti Heath <heap8506@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, September 23, 2022 9:12 PM

**To:** clifford.ashburner@dinsmore.com; crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com;

shannon.johnson@dinsmore.com; St. Germain, Dante; Weathers, Charles

**Subject:** Fwd: 5127 Terry Rd

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Patti Heath <heap8506@yahoo.com> Date: August 15, 2022 at 11:41:11 AM EDT

**To:** clifford.ashburner@dinsmore.com, crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com, shannon.johnson@dinsmore.com, dante.st.germain@louisvilleky.gov

Cc: Murrayheightsky@gmail.com

Subject: 5127 Terry Rd

This email concerns the proposed apartment complex development at 5127 Terry Rd. Louisville 40216. I have lived in Murray Heights for 31 yrs. I love my neighborhood. Everyone was hoping the land at 5127 Terry rd would be developed into patio homes not more apartments.

I am very opposed to this proposal for several reasons:

- 1. The traffic is terrible, it sometimes takes over 5 minutes to make a turn out of our subdivision.
- 2. Our subdivision is very quiet, lots of families with children . There are a lot of people who walk everyday and children who ride their bikes and play outside.
- 3. I feel it would present a lot of problems to connect our neighborhood to this development via Joy Dr or Harvest Dr.
- 4. We were actually lied to about opening our neighborhood to connect with the development. It does not show good character for a developer to lie to all of the people involved just to get what they want!
- 5. The streets in Murray Heights and Terry Rd. we're not built for all the traffic that this development is going to present.

I am asking to be included from here on out of any and all correspondence and notices regarding the development at 5127 Terry Rd.

Thank you Patti Heath heap8506@yahoo.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: Patti Heath <heap8506@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 11:41 AM

**To:** Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com; Crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com;

Shannon.Johnson@dinsmore.com; St. Germain, Dante

**Cc:** Murrayheightsky@gmail.com

**Subject:** 5127 Terry Rd

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

This email concerns the proposed apartment complex development at 5127 Terry Rd. Louisville 40216.

I have lived in Murray Heights for 31 yrs. I love my neighborhood. Everyone was hoping the land at 5127 Terry rd would be developed into patio homes not more apartments.

I am very opposed to this proposal for several reasons:

- 1. The traffic is terrible, it sometimes takes over 5 minutes to make a turn out of our subdivision.
- 2. Our subdivision is very quiet, lots of families with children . There are a lot of people who walk everyday and children who ride their bikes and play outside.
- 3. I feel it would present a lot of problems to connect our neighborhood to this development via Joy Dr or Harvest Dr.
- 4. We were actually lied to about opening our neighborhood to connect with the development. It does not show good character for a developer to lie to all of the people involved just to get what they want!
- 5. The streets in Murray Heights and Terry Rd. we're not built for all the traffic that this development is going to present. I am asking to be included from here on out of any and all correspondence and notices regarding the development at 5127 Terry Rd.

Thank you Patti Heath heap8506@yahoo.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Friday, July 15, 2022 2:32 PM

**To:** Reverman, Joe

**Cc:** St. Germain, Dante; Weathers, Charles

**Subject:** Re: Information please

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

Thank you for your response.

On Thu, Jul 14, 2022 at 2:09 PM Reverman, Joe < Joe.Reverman@louisvilleky.gov> wrote:

Miss Burnell, the Planning Commission meeting is designed to be the main point at which discussion takes place. As the Planning Commission is the decision making body, and keeper of the public record. The main goal is to ensure all concerned persons have their concerns made part of the record and passed on to the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission holds the public meeting and will hear from all interested parties. The neighborhood meeting is intended to provide advanced notice that a development is being proposed. You may attempt to talk with the developer or property owner, but there is no requirement for applicants or property owners to subsequently talk with, or answer questions from neighbors. You may email the case manager (Dante St. Germain) with concerns or questions which will be made part of the record, and given to the Planning Commission to consider as part of their decision making process. You may also speak directly to the Planning Commission at the public meetings.

Joe Reverman

Planning & Design Services

From: Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2022 1:50 PM

**To:** Reverman, Joe < <u>Joe.Reverman@louisvilleky.gov</u>>; St. Germain, Dante < <u>Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov</u>>;

Weathers, Charles < Charles. Weathers@louisvilleky.gov>

Subject: Re: Information please

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

Thank you both for the information.
So I understand that neighbors are only notified of the initial neighborhood meeting, and no changes in-between, then notified of the final meeting? So two notifications, despite signing up to stay informed?
What is the purpose of signing up to stay informed if they aren't notified of changes to those preliminary plans along the process? Am I understanding correctly that it falls of the individuals to reach out and inquire about changes - otherwise they won't be notified?
(Please share what specific changes have been made to the plans for 5127 Terry Rd since the initial plan was presented. To the untrained eye, the plans are not easy to read and notice changes.)
When an experienced developer knowingly submits plans that will have to be changed because of the LDC (or agency input) - it seems they are either purposely being deceitful in that initial meeting or trying to circumvent the LDC - and it is clear that the latter will not be acceptable. It makes for a seemingly more favorable initial presentation to neighbors and then they blame others for changes they knew would be required.
What is the purpose of gathering input from the neighbors affected if the commissioners are usually most concerned with matters that directly relate to the appropriateness of the zoning change and the compliance of the plan with Plan 2040 and the LDC?
And lastly, I'm not sure this was clearly answeredis it correct that after the initial meeting a developer holds with affected residents - there is no <i>written policy</i> that requires them to:
a) answer questions submitted by the affected community members?

manner?
c) no repercussions if they refuse to answer questions submitted?
d) no repercussions if a developer knowingly presents information with intent to deceive or in effort to lessen opposition?
Thank you,
Tina Burnell
502-365-6949
On Tue, Jul 12, 2022 at 4:14 PM Reverman, Joe < <u>Joe.Reverman@louisvilleky.gov</u> > wrote:
The Control of the Co
The initial plans submitted at neighborhood meetings are preliminary and early in the process. It is common for plans to change after the neighborhood meeting because they receive comments from several agencies (Planning, Public Works, MSD, KYTC, etc.), as well as comments from neighbors.
to change after the neighborhood meeting because they receive comments from several agencies (Planning, Public
to change after the neighborhood meeting because they receive comments from several agencies (Planning, Public Works, MSD, KYTC, etc.), as well as comments from neighbors.  The purpose of the neighborhood meeting is to inform neighbors of the general proposal and to be on the lookout for
to change after the neighborhood meeting because they receive comments from several agencies (Planning, Public Works, MSD, KYTC, etc.), as well as comments from neighbors.  The purpose of the neighborhood meeting is to inform neighbors of the general proposal and to be on the lookout for
to change after the neighborhood meeting because they receive comments from several agencies (Planning, Public Works, MSD, KYTC, etc.), as well as comments from neighbors.  The purpose of the neighborhood meeting is to inform neighbors of the general proposal and to be on the lookout for upcoming public meetings at which they may provide comments to decision makers.
to change after the neighborhood meeting because they receive comments from several agencies (Planning, Public Works, MSD, KYTC, etc.), as well as comments from neighbors.  The purpose of the neighborhood meeting is to inform neighbors of the general proposal and to be on the lookout for upcoming public meetings at which they may provide comments to decision makers.  Joe Reverman, AICP
to change after the neighborhood meeting because they receive comments from several agencies (Planning, Public Works, MSD, KYTC, etc.), as well as comments from neighbors.  The purpose of the neighborhood meeting is to inform neighbors of the general proposal and to be on the lookout for upcoming public meetings at which they may provide comments to decision makers.  Joe Reverman, AICP  Assistant Director
to change after the neighborhood meeting because they receive comments from several agencies (Planning, Public Works, MSD, KYTC, etc.), as well as comments from neighbors.  The purpose of the neighborhood meeting is to inform neighbors of the general proposal and to be on the lookout for upcoming public meetings at which they may provide comments to decision makers.  Joe Reverman, AICP  Assistant Director  Planning & Design Services
to change after the neighborhood meeting because they receive comments from several agencies (Planning, Public Works, MSD, KYTC, etc.), as well as comments from neighbors.  The purpose of the neighborhood meeting is to inform neighbors of the general proposal and to be on the lookout for upcoming public meetings at which they may provide comments to decision makers.  Joe Reverman, AICP  Assistant Director  Planning & Design Services  Develop Louisville
to change after the neighborhood meeting because they receive comments from several agencies (Planning, Public Works, MSD, KYTC, etc.), as well as comments from neighbors.  The purpose of the neighborhood meeting is to inform neighbors of the general proposal and to be on the lookout for upcoming public meetings at which they may provide comments to decision makers.  Joe Reverman, AICP  Assistant Director  Planning & Design Services  Develop Louisville  LOUISVILLE FORWARD

(502) 574-6246
https://louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design
Sign up to receive email notification of development proposals in your Metro Council District
From: St. Germain, Dante < <u>Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov</u> > Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 4:05 PM To: Murray Heights < <u>murrayheightsky@gmail.com</u> >; Reverman, Joe < <u>Joe.Reverman@louisvilleky.gov</u> >; Weathers, Charles < <u>Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov</u> > Subject: RE: Information please
I do not have an answer to that question.
Dante St. Germain, AICP
Planner II
Planning & Design Services
Department of Develop Louisville
LOUISVILLE FORWARD

444 South Fifth Street, Suite 300

Louisville, KY 40202

(502) 574-4388





Stay aware of new development in your area! Sign up for Gov Delivery notifications at:

https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/KYLOUISVILLE/subscriber/new

From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com >

Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 4:00 PM

To: Reverman, Joe < <u>Joe.Reverman@louisvilleky.gov</u>>; St. Germain, Dante < <u>Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov</u>>;

Weathers, Charles < Charles. Weathers@louisvilleky.gov >

Subject: Re: Information please

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

Thank you! I appreciate the information.

Is it a common practice for applicants to knowingly present plans that are non-compliant with the Land Development Code when they hold the initial neighborhood meeting?

Tina Burnell

On Tue, Jul 12, 2022 at 8:28 AM St. Germain, Dante < <u>Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov</u> > wrote:
At the public hearing, typically all groups have 25 minutes each to speak. The applicant and other supporters are allotted an initial 20 minutes for their remarks, then neutral parties get 25 minutes, and opposition parties get 25 minutes. The applicant then gets their remaining 5 minutes to address any points brought up by neutral or opposition speakers.
If you believe an applicant has mislead you, you can make comments on that, ideally in advance of the meeting. An comments received by email by me become part of the public record and are provided to the Commissioners to review. At the public meeting itself, the Commissioners will mainly want to hear how the zoning change complies o does not comply with the KRS-specified criteria for a zoning or form district change:
<ol> <li>The proposed form district/rezoning change complies with the applicable guidelines and policies of Plan 2040; OR</li> <li>The existing form district/zoning classification is inappropriate and the proposed classification is appropriate; OR</li> <li>There have been major changes of an economic, physical, or social nature within the area involved which were not anticipated in Plan 2040 which have substantially altered the basic character of the area.</li> </ol>
The Commissioners will review any comments received early prior to the meeting. They may choose to question the applicant on any matter, but KRS restricts their findings to the above, so they are usually most concerned with matters that directly relate to the appropriateness of the zoning change and the compliance of the plan with Plan 2040 and the LDC.

#### Dante St. Germain, AICP

Planner II

Planning & Design Services

Department of Develop Louisville

LOUISVILLE FORWARD

444 South Fifth Street, Suite 300

Louisville, KY 40202

(502) 574-4388

https://louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design





Stay aware of new development in your area! Sign up for Gov Delivery notifications at:

https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/KYLOUISVILLE/subscriber/new

From: Murray Heights < <a href="mailto:murrayheightsky@gmail.com">murrayheightsky@gmail.com</a>>

**Sent:** Monday, July 11, 2022 4:44 PM

**To:** Reverman, Joe < <u>Joe.Reverman@louisvilleky.gov</u>>; St. Germain, Dante < <u>Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov</u>>;

Weathers, Charles < <a href="mailto:Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov">Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov</a>>

Subject: Re: Information please

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe
Thank you for the clarification, Dante.
So on question #2 a-d - is that accurate?
What is the time allotted for the developer/attorney to speak at the public hearing vs the time allotted for the public? And is there a time allotted for unanswered questions from the public to be addressed?
When people submit requests to stay notified regarding a development - it seems that they can only expect to be notified of the initial meeting and then the final public hearing - nothing in between those two events. Is that correct?
Tina Burnell
502-365-6949
On Mon, Jul 11, 2022 at 11:41 AM Murray Heights < <a href="mailto:murrayheightsky@gmail.com">murrayheightsky@gmail.com</a> > wrote:
Dante,
I am going to be taking some concerns before Metro Council and wanted to clarify some information prior to doing

upcoming meeting?	
2. Is it correct that after the initial meeting a developer holds with affected residents - there is no written policy t requires them to:	that
a) answer questions submitted by the affected community members?	
b) no means for Planning and Development to require that they communicate and answer questions in a timely manner?	
c) no repercussions if they refuse to answer questions submitted?	
d) no repercussions if a developer knowingly presents information with intent to deceive or in effort to lessen opposition?	
3. Is it corrrect that throughout the planning and development process there are no written procedures or requirements for the developer to notify affected residents of any changes to the original plans that are presente at the initial meeting?	ed
Thank you,	
Tina Burnell	
The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibit and may be unlawful.	

From: Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, July 11, 2022 4:44 PM

To: Reverman, Joe; St. Germain, Dante; Weathers, Charles

**Subject:** Re: Information please

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

Thank you for the clarification, Dante.

So on question #2 a-d - is that accurate?

What is the time allotted for the developer/attorney to speak at the public hearing vs the time allotted for the public? And is there a time allotted for unanswered questions from the public to be addressed?

When people submit requests to stay notified regarding a development - it seems that they can only expect to be notified of the initial meeting and then the final public hearing - nothing in between those two events. Is that correct?

Tina Burnell 502-365-6949

On Mon, Jul 11, 2022 at 11:41 AM Murray Heights < <a href="mailto:murrayheightsky@gmail.com">murrayheightsky@gmail.com</a>> wrote: Dante.

I am going to be taking some concerns before Metro Council and wanted to clarify some information prior to doing so...

- 1. When is the next Planning and Development Board meeting? And who do I contact to sign up to speak at an upcoming meeting?
- 2. Is it correct that after the initial meeting a developer holds with affected residents there is no written policy that requires them to:
- a) answer questions submitted by the affected community members?
- b) no means for Planning and Development to require that they communicate and answer questions in a timely manner?
- c) no repercussions if they refuse to answer questions submitted?
- d) no repercussions if a developer knowingly presents information with intent to deceive or in effort to lessen opposition?

3. Is it corrrect that throughout the planning and development process there are no written procedures or requirements for the developer to notify affected residents of any changes to the original plans that are presented at the initial meeting?

Thank you, Tina Burnell

**From:** Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, July 11, 2022 11:42 AM

**To:** Ashburner, Clifford; Chris Dischinger; Christi Lanier-Robinson; Johnson, Shannon; St.

Germain, Dante; Weathers, Charles

**Subject:** Information please

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

Dante,

I am going to be taking some concerns before Metro Council and wanted to clarify some information prior to doing so...

- 1. When is the next Planning and Development Board meeting? And who do I contact to sign up to speak at an upcoming meeting?
- 2. Is it correct that after the initial meeting a developer holds with affected residents there is no written policy that requires them to:
- a) answer questions submitted by the affected community members?
- b) no means for Planning and Development to require that they communicate and answer questions in a timely manner?
- c) no repercussions if they refuse to answer questions submitted?
- d) no repercussions if a developer knowingly presents information with intent to deceive or in effort to lessen opposition?
- 3. Is it corrrect that throughout the planning and development process there are no written procedures or requirements for the developer to notify affected residents of any changes to the original plans that are presented at the initial meeting?

Thank you, Tina Burnell

From: Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, July 6, 2022 11:01 AM

**To:** Ashburner, Clifford

**Cc:** Chris Dischinger; Christi Lanier-Robinson; Johnson, Shannon; St. Germain, Dante;

Weathers, Charles

**Subject:** Re: FW: Terry Rd Staff Comments

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

Dante,

I want to note for the record that LDG and it's representative attorney, Cliff Ashburner, have refused to answer the following yes/no questions that were submitted twice.

Tina Burnell

With respect to connection to the stub streets per LDC section 5.9.2 -

- 1 Did Derek Triplett know that this is a requirement of the Land Development Code?
- 2. Did Cliff Ashburner know that this is a requirement of the Land Development Code?
- 3 Did LDG and/or representatives of LDG know that this is a requirement of the Land Development Code?
- 4. Has LDG navigated this process for any other proposal by presenting original plans with no connectivity, only to have to subsequently modify the plans due to this same requirement of the Land Development Code?

On Wed, Jun 29, 2022 at 8:06 PM Murray Heights < <a href="mailto:murrayheightsky@gmail.com">murrayheightsky@gmail.com</a>> wrote: I didn't see anyone answer these questions - resubmitting...

With respect to connection to the stub streets per LDC section 5.9.2 -

- 1 Did Derek Triplett know that this is a requirement of the Land Development Code?
- 2. Did Cliff Ashburner know that this is a requirement of the Land Development Code?
- 3 Did LDG and/or representatives of LDG know that this is a requirement of the Land Development Code?
- 4. Has LDG navigated this process for any other proposal by presenting original plans with no connectivity, only to have to subsequently modify the plans due to this same requirement of the Land Development Code?

Thank you, Tina Burnell

On Wed, Jun 22, 2022 at 9:12 PM Murray Heights < <a href="murrayheightsky@gmail.com">murrayheightsky@gmail.com</a>> wrote:

Actually Cliff, you'll recall that I had to seek answers from others because you took 4 weeks to partially respond to questions I submitted and then seemed exasperated that I expected remaining and future questions to be responded

to in a timely manner.

To quote you directly:

"It appears that we may not be able to respond quickly enough to meet your needs. As I mentioned in my response Friday, there is a long way to go before this case gets to the public meeting at which our public hearing date will be set. To that end, I suggest that you reach out to Dante for answers to your plan questions, MSD for answers regarding drainage concerns, the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet District 5 office for questions on Terry Road, and Louisville Metro Public Works regarding Joy and Harvest."

You were dismissive. You had more than enough time to respond in full, but it wasn't a priority for you.

However, back to the issue at hand...

With respect to connection to the stub streets per LDC section 5.9.2 -

- 1 Did Derek Triplett know that this is a requirement of the Land Development Code?
- 2. Did Cliff Ashburner know that this is a requirement of the Land Development Code?
- 3 Did LDG and/or representatives of LDG know that this is a requirement of the Land Development Code?
- 4. Has LDG navigated this process for any other proposal by presenting original plans with no connectivity, only to have to subsequently modify the plans due to this same requirement of the Land Development Code?

Tina Burnell

On Wed, Jun 22, 2022 at 4:17 PM Ashburner, Clifford < Clifford. Ashburner@dinsmore.com > wrote:

Tina:

I've not responded up to now, as it seems like you've been getting your questions answered by staff and your comments included in the record. But saying that I was deliberately deceitful and including people I work with on the email with that accusation is something I feel the need to address.

At the time of our neighborhood meeting, our client didn't intend to make connections to your neighborhood. Connections between apartment communities and single family subdivisions are points of contention in almost every case where they occur, and LDG and others have addressed them in a variety of ways. Sometimes

the connections are made. Sometimes they aren't. And sometimes the connection is made but with a gate or other apparatus to discourage its use.

The reason we didn't show them on the initial plan was that we anticipated having two entrances on Terry Road. Of course, it was always a possibility that Louisville Metro Planning and Louisville Metro Public Works would demand the connection, which I acknowledged in my answer to the group. My answer to you and the group was truthful.

#### Cliff Ashburner

From: Murray Heights < <a href="mailto:murrayheightsky@gmail.com">murrayheightsky@gmail.com</a>>

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 21, 2022 4:12 PM

**To:** Christi Lanier-Robinson < <a href="mailto:crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com">crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com</a>>; St. Germain, Dante

<dante.st.germain@louisvilleky.gov>; Chris Dischinger <cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com>; Ashburner, Clifford

<Clifford.Ashburner@DINSMORE.COM>

**Cc:** Weathers, Charles < <a href="mailto:Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov">Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov</a>>; Johnson, Shannon

<<u>Shannon.Johnson@DINSMORE.COM</u>> **Subject:** Re: FW: Terry Rd Staff Comments

I saw the agency comments today.

During the March 15, 2002 meeting at time mark 55:37, Charlotte Pence, a resident of Joy Drive, specifically asked about the complex connecting to Joy Drive.

Mr. Ashburner responded:

"right now the plan does not show access - we do not show a connection and I think, I don't think LDG proposes a connection. Now Metro Public Works, we may get a comment that they want Joy to connect but I don't know right now that that will uh come to...

But he DID IN FACT KNOW. It's part of the Louisville Development Code (5.9.2) - LDG knew that. Cliff knew that - which is why he stammered around the question. LDG knew that when they drew up initial plans to present to the affected residents in that first meeting. YOU KNEW AND YOU ACTED PURPOSELY IN A WAY TO DECEIVE.

No reasonable person would believe that an experienced developer like LDG would not know the code when they have already done numerous developments in the city. LDG purposely deceived affected residents to facilitate a more favorable presentation of this proposed development and in an effort to minimize opposition. That creates a credibility issue. This wasn't a mistake or an oversight. LDG and their representatives knew full well what they were doing.
Again, I will make sure every member of Metro Council is aware of this situation. I have zero tolerance for unethical business practices, especially those that impact my neighborhood.
I realize LDG likes to present themselves in a favorable light, full of charity and good deeds, but at the end of the day LDG is a for-profit business looking out for their bottom line. It's not a benevolent operation. LDG is highly incentivized by both the city and the state and have made it clear that we are just little people to be stepped over in the process - home owners whose concerns are dismissed out of hand and treated with utter disregard.
What was that name LDG has for people like us, Christi? Oh, it was NIMBY's (not in my backyard), right? Nothing like a business creating mocking, scornful nicknames for those who oppose their developments. Shameful. Indifferent.
Tina Burnell
On Tue, Jun 21, 2022 at 2:20 PM Christi Lanier-Robinson < <a href="mailto:crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com">crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com</a> > wrote:
Ms. Burrell-
I talked to our development manager regarding this issue. As Cliff mentioned at the meeting LDG is not, for a variety of reasons, interested in connecting our developments to nearby neighborhoods. That is why we submitted our plans to planning without connecting the streets to our development. We connected the revised plan showing the connectivity in response to comments provide by planning the staff which is highlighted in the attachment.
Charles: copying you so that you can see the feedback provided by the city on our requirements.
Thanks!

Christi

NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission from the law firm of Dinsmore & Shohl may constitute an attorney-client communication that is privileged at law. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying it, and notify the sender by reply e-mail, so that our address record can be corrected.

From: donna.rock5 <donna.rock5@att.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2022 9:22 AM

**To:** Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com; Crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com;

Shannon.Johnson@Dinsmore.com; St. Germain, Dante; Weathers, Charles;

murrayheightsky@gmail.com

**Subject:** 5127 Terry Rd. Proposed Apartments

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

All,

This proposal poses a very derrogative effect on our subdivision. The original meeting did not reflect opening Joy Dr or Harvest Dr

Murray Heights is at capacity for traffic. Numerous car accidents have occurred when trying to turn left onto Terry Rd. There is one entrance into this neighborhood. Adding more traffic will cause a traffic nighmare especially during rush hours. School buses have a hard time turning left as is. With the additional traffic, there is no doubt that bus schedules will be affected.

Your document states a traffic study has been completed. I would like to see that study. It appears that profit has precedence over safety and concerns of residents/constituents in this area.

Please advise with eta on this study.

Regards

Donna Rock

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

From:	Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com>		
Sent:	Wednesday, June 22, 2022 9:13 PM		
То:	Ashburner, Clifford		
Cc:	Chris Dischinger; Christi Lanier-Robinson; Johnson, Shannon; St. Germain, Dante;		
	Weathers, Charles		
Subject:	Re: FW: Terry Rd Staff Comments		
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe			
	call that I had to seek answers from others because you took 4 weeks to partially respond to and then seemed exasperated that I expected remaining and future questions to be responded to		
To quote you directly:			
in my response Fricour public hearing your plan question	e may not be able to respond quickly enough to meet your needs. As I mentioned day, there is a long way to go before this case gets to the public meeting at which date will be set. To that end, I suggest that you reach out to Dante for answers to is, MSD for answers regarding drainage concerns, the Kentucky Transportation office for questions on Terry Road, and Louisville Metro Public Works regarding Joy		
You were dismissive.	You had more than enough time to respond in full, but it wasn't a priority for you.		
However, back to the	issue at hand		
With respect to conne	ection to the stub streets per LDC section 5.9.2 -		
1 Did Derek Triplett kr	now that this is a requirement of the Land Development Code?		
2. Did Cliff Ashburner	know that this is a requirement of the Land Development Code?		
3 Did LDG and/or repr	resentatives of LDG know that this is a requirement of the Land Development Code?		
4. Has LDG navigated	this process for any other proposal by presenting original plans with no connectivity, only to have		

Tina:

Tina Burnell

to subsequently modify the plans due to this same requirement of the Land Development Code?

On Wed, Jun 22, 2022 at 4:17 PM Ashburner, Clifford < <a href="mailto:clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com">Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com</a>> wrote:

I've not responded up to now, as it seems like you've been getting your questions answered by staff and your comments included in the record. But saying that I was deliberately deceitful and including people I work with on the email with that accusation is something I feel the need to address.

At the time of our neighborhood meeting, our client didn't intend to make connections to your neighborhood. Connections between apartment communities and single family subdivisions are points of contention in almost every case where they occur, and LDG and others have addressed them in a variety of ways. Sometimes the connections are made. Sometimes they aren't. And sometimes the connection is made but with a gate or other apparatus to discourage its use.

The reason we didn't show them on the initial plan was that we anticipated having two entrances on Terry Road. Of course, it was always a possibility that Louisville Metro Planning and Louisville Metro Public Works would demand the connection, which I acknowledged in my answer to the group. My answer to you and the group was truthful.

#### Cliff Ashburner

From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com >

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 21, 2022 4:12 PM

**To:** Christi Lanier-Robinson < <a href="mailto:crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com">crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com</a>>; St. Germain, Dante

<<u>dante.st.germain@louisvilleky.gov</u>>; Chris Dischinger <<u>cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com</u>>; Ashburner, Clifford

<Clifford.Ashburner@DINSMORE.COM>

Cc: Weathers, Charles < Charles. Weathers@louisvilleky.gov>; Johnson, Shannon < Shannon. Johnson@DINSMORE.COM>

**Subject:** Re: FW: Terry Rd Staff Comments

I saw the agency comments today.

During the March 15, 2002 meeting at time mark 55:37, Charlotte Pence, a resident of Joy Drive, specifically asked about the complex connecting to Joy Drive.

Mr. Ashburner responded:

"right now the plan does not show access - we do not show a connection and I think, I don't think LDG proposes a connection. Now Metro Public Works, we may get a comment that they want Joy to connect but I don't know right now that that will uh come to...

But he DID IN FACT KNOW. It's part of the Louisville Development Code (5.9.2) - LDG knew that. Cliff knew that - which is why he stammered around the question. LDG knew that when they drew up initial plans to present to the affected residents in that first meeting. YOU KNEW AND YOU ACTED PURPOSELY IN A WAY TO DECEIVE.
No reasonable person would believe that an experienced developer like LDG would not know the code when they have already done numerous developments in the city. LDG purposely deceived affected residents to facilitate a more favorable presentation of this proposed development and in an effort to minimize opposition. That creates a credibility issue. This wasn't a mistake or an oversight. LDG and their representatives knew full well what they were doing.
Again, I will make sure every member of Metro Council is aware of this situation. I have zero tolerance for unethical business practices, especially those that impact my neighborhood.

I realize LDG likes to present themselves in a favorable light, full of charity and good deeds, but at the end of the day, LDG is a for-profit business looking out for their bottom line. It's not a benevolent operation. LDG is highly incentivized by both the city and the state and have made it clear that we are just little people to be stepped over in the process - home owners whose concerns are dismissed out of hand and treated with utter disregard.

What was that name LDG has for people like us, Christi? Oh, it was NIMBY's (not in my backyard), right? Nothing like a business creating mocking, scornful nicknames for those who oppose their developments. Shameful. Indifferent.

Tina Burnell

On Tue, Jun 21, 2022 at 2:20 PM Christi Lanier-Robinson < <a href="mailto:crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com">crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com</a>> wrote:

Ms. Burrell-

I talked to our development manager regarding this issue. As Cliff mentioned at the meeting LDG is not, for a variety of reasons, interested in connecting our developments to nearby neighborhoods. That is why we submitted our plans to planning without connecting the streets to our development. We connected the revised plan showing the connectivity in response to comments provide by planning the staff which is highlighted in the attachment.

Charles: copying you so that you can see the feedback provided by the city on our requirements.
Thanks!
Christi

NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission from the law firm of Dinsmore & Shohl may constitute an attorney-client communication that is privileged at law. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying it, and notify the sender by reply e-mail, so that our address record can be corrected.

From: Amanda Bell <bell.amandajo@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 21, 2022 6:27 PM

**To:** Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com; Crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com;

Shannon.Johnson@dinsmore.com; St. Germain, Dante; Weathers, Charles

**Cc:** murrayheightsky@gmail.com

**Subject:** 5127 Terry Road proposed development

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

Good morning. I am writing regarding the above mentioned development plan. I understand that apartments may be needed but I beg of you not to connect my neighborhood with them. We are a quiet and good neighborhood. My husband and I chose this neighborhood a few years ago because it only had one entrance and exit. This type of neighborhood provided more peaceful and playful streets. There's no reason to connect an apartment complex to our streets and increase the traffic and flow of what we have in our little corner of PRP. The developers have not been as honest as we would like in communicating their plans to the area or the city and we beg of you to keep them at their original plans of NOT connecting the complex and our neighborhood and NOT allowing them to shave the treeline that gives us privacy and shade.

Amanda Bell 5608 Axbridge Rd, Louisville, KY 40216

From: Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 21, 2022 5:58 PM

To: Christi Lanier-Robinson

**Cc:** Ashburner, Clifford; Chris Dischinger; Johnson, Shannon; St. Germain, Dante; Weathers,

Charles

**Subject:** Re: Terry Rd Staff Comments

# CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

It actually makes a lot of sense to start as you did. You can then blame the city or other agencies/organizations for making you do what you said you weren't going to do, thereby absolving yourselves. That's exactly what you did here. It's quite the tactic.

Those are strong assumptions about people in this community simply because they don't want your developments in their backyard.

I understood clearly that NIMBY absolutely refers to groups of people. You clarified it well. LDG thinks it's okay to disparage groups of people by disguising it as a fight against a "philosophy" or "practice" - but that is both disingenuous and antithetical to what you claim to stand for.

I realize it's advantageous and dignifying to use a catchy slogan and phrases that makes it seems like your efforts are all some charitable, honorable effort to give disadvantaged folks a hand up - however, LDG is a business that operates for incredible profit, has a performance based agreement with the state for \$1 million in tax incentives, and makes great effort to maintain a favorable position with the powers that be so they can keep on rolling uncontested and unabated.

I've read all your talking points.

On this issue, it's not a matter of what I "choose to believe" - it's a matter of your actions being purposely deceitful to benefit you. That's a serious ethical issue from where I stand.

Tina Burnell

On Tue, Jun 21, 2022 at 4:38 PM Christi Lanier-Robinson < <a href="mailto:crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com">crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com</a>> wrote:

I'm sorry you feel that way and we respectfully disagree with you. Afterall, proposing something that we know is not going to be approved doesn't make a lot of sense especially when you consider the delays and cost. But again, you are certainly welcome to believe whatever you'd like.

And as a point of clarification, NIMBY does not refer to a group of people but rather a practice .....it is the same practice used to keep people out of neighborhoods that others may feel don't "deserve or havent earned the right to live in an area."

We absolutely despise that philosophy and make no apologies for fighting against it.

Best,

Christi

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 21, 2022, at 4:12 PM, Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com > wrote:

I saw the agency comments today.

During the March 15, 2002 meeting at time mark 55:37, Charlotte Pence, a resident of Joy Drive, specifically asked about the complex connecting to Joy Drive.

Mr. Ashburner responded:

"right now the plan does not show access - we do not show a connection and I think, I don't think LDG proposes a connection. Now Metro Public Works, we may get a comment that they want Joy to connect but I don't know right now that that will uh come to...

But he DID IN FACT KNOW. It's part of the Louisville Development Code (5.9.2) - LDG knew that. Cliff knew that - which is why he stammered around the question. LDG knew that when they drew up initial plans to present to the affected residents in that first meeting. YOU KNEW AND YOU ACTED PURPOSELY IN A WAY TO DECEIVE.

No reasonable person would believe that an experienced developer like LDG would not know the code when they have already done numerous developments in the city. LDG purposely deceived affected residents to facilitate a more favorable presentation of this proposed development and in an effort to minimize opposition. That creates a credibility issue. This wasn't a mistake or an oversight. LDG and their representatives knew full well what they were doing.

Again, I will make sure every member of Metro Council is aware of this situation. I have zero tolerance for unethical business practices, especially those that impact my neighborhood.

I realize LDG likes to present themselves in a favorable light, full of charity and good deeds, but at the end of the day, LDG is a for-profit business looking out for their bottom line. It's not a benevolent operation. LDG is highly incentivized by both the city and the state and have made it clear that we are just little people to be stepped over in the process - home owners whose concerns are dismissed out of hand and treated with utter disregard.

What was that name LDG has for people like us, Christi? Oh, it was NIMBY's (not in my backyard), right? Nothing like a business creating mocking, scornful nicknames for those who oppose their developments. Shameful. Indifferent.

Tina Burnell

On Tue, Jun 21, 2022 at 2:20 PM Christi Lanier-Robinson <crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com> wrote:

Ms. Burrell-
I talked to our development manager regarding this issue. As Cliff mentioned at the meeting LDG is not, for a variety of reasons, interested in connecting our developments to nearby neighborhoods. That is why we submitted our plans to planning without connecting the streets to our development. We connected the revised plan showing the connectivity in response to comments provide by planning the staff which is highlighted in the attachment.
Charles: copying you so that you can see the feedback provided by the city on our requirements.
Thanks!
Christi

**From:** Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 21, 2022 4:12 PM

To: Christi Lanier-Robinson; St. Germain, Dante; Chris Dischinger; Ashburner, Clifford

**Cc:** Weathers, Charles; Johnson, Shannon **Subject:** Re: FW: Terry Rd Staff Comments

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

I saw the agency comments today.

During the March 15, 2002 meeting at time mark 55:37, Charlotte Pence, a resident of Joy Drive, specifically asked about the complex connecting to Joy Drive.

Mr. Ashburner responded:

"right now the plan does not show access - we do not show a connection and I think, I don't think LDG proposes a connection. Now Metro Public Works, we may get a comment that they want Joy to connect but I don't know right now that that will uh come to...

But he DID IN FACT KNOW. It's part of the Louisville Development Code (5.9.2) - LDG knew that. Cliff knew that - which is why he stammered around the question. LDG knew that when they drew up initial plans to present to the affected residents in that first meeting. YOU KNEW AND YOU ACTED PURPOSELY IN A WAY TO DECEIVE.

No reasonable person would believe that an experienced developer like LDG would not know the code when they have already done numerous developments in the city. LDG purposely deceived affected residents to facilitate a more favorable presentation of this proposed development and in an effort to minimize opposition. That creates a credibility issue. This wasn't a mistake or an oversight. LDG and their representatives knew full well what they were doing.

Again, I will make sure every member of Metro Council is aware of this situation. I have zero tolerance for unethical business practices, especially those that impact my neighborhood.

I realize LDG likes to present themselves in a favorable light, full of charity and good deeds, but at the end of the day, LDG is a for-profit business looking out for their bottom line. It's not a benevolent operation. LDG is highly incentivized by both the city and the state and have made it clear that we are just little people to be stepped over in the process - home owners whose concerns are dismissed out of hand and treated with utter disregard.

What was that name LDG has for people like us, Christi? Oh, it was NIMBY's (not in my backyard), right? Nothing like a business creating mocking, scornful nicknames for those who oppose their developments. Shameful. Indifferent.

Tina Burnell

On Tue, Jun 21, 2022 at 2:20 PM Christi Lanier-Robinson <crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com> wrote:

Ms. Burrell-

I talked to our development manager regarding this issue. As Cliff mentioned at the meeting LDG is not, for a variety of reasons, interested in connecting our developments to nearby neighborhoods. That is why we submitted our plans to planning without connecting the streets to our development. We connected the revised plan showing the connectivity in response to comments provide by planning the staff which is highlighted in the attachment.
Charles: copying you so that you can see the feedback provided by the city on our requirements.
Thanks!
Christi

St. Germain, Dante	
	Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com> Tuesday, June 21, 2022 1:08 PM St. Germain, Dante Ashburner, Clifford; Johnson, Shannon; Christi Lanier-Robinson; Weathers, Charles Re: Proposed Complex 5127 Terry Rd  ail came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open s you recognize the sender and know the content is safe</murrayheightsky@gmail.com>
Thank you for the inform	nation, Dante.
well-versed in the Louisy deceived residents wher	ntion and the fact that LDG is an experienced developer in this area and by all means should be ville Development Code - it leads to one clear conclusion - Mr. Ashburner outright lied and in he stated in the public meeting held on March 15, 2022 that they had no plans to connect the lopment to our neighborhood. They knew full well it was REQUIRED by LDC.
_ ,	omething that should not be overlooked. It is certainly not acceptable for a developer to act wit islead affected residents. I am going to send this information and my concerns to all council
Dante, please add this to	o the file. I appreciate your help.
Tina Burnell	
On Tue, Jun 21, 2022 at	10:19 AM St. Germain, Dante < <u>Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov</u> > wrote:
to tell you. "Who" mad	uirement of the Land Development Code and the Comprehensive Plan. I'm not sure what else de the decision that the connection must be made would be ultimately Metro Council, who dditions to the LDC, and approved the Comprehensive Plan.
	e a request to not provide the Harvest Drive connection, per the LDC, "if the connection is ther stub connections are being provided through the development that further the

Comprehensive Plan's Goals, Objectives, and Policies related to Mobility." So requesting to not provide a connection to

both streets is not something the LDC allows.

#### Dante St. Germain, AICP

Planner II

Planning & Design Services

Department of Develop Louisville

LOUISVILLE FORWARD

444 South Fifth Street, Suite 300

Louisville, KY 40202

(502) 574-4388

https://louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design





Stay aware of new development in your area! Sign up for Gov Delivery notifications at:

https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/KYLOUISVILLE/subscriber/new

From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com >

Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 9:50 AM

**To:** Ashburner, Clifford < <a href="mailto:clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com">Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com</a>; Johnson, Shannon < <a href="mailto:shannon.Johnson@dinsmore.com">Shannon.Johnson@dinsmore.com</a>; johnson, Shannon < <a href="mailto:shannon.den">Shannon.Johnson@dinsmore.com</a>; johnson, Shannon < <a href="mailto:shannon.den">Shannon.den</a> <a href="mailto:shanno

St. Germain, Dante < Dante. St. Germain@louisvilleky.gov>

<a href="mailto:crossinson&lt;/a&gt; &lt;a href=" mailto:crossinson@idgdevelopment.com"="">crossinson@idgdevelopment.com</a> ; weathers, charles <a href="mailto:charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov">charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov</a> <a href="mailto:subject">Subject: Re: Proposed Complex 5127 Terry Rd</a>	
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe	
Who made the determination that their had to be a connection to Joy Drive?	
And if those connections are a requirement, then LDG knew that and they lied in the first meeting when they said they did not intend to connect the complex to our neighborhood.	
If they can request permission not to connect to Harvest? Why can't they do that with both Joy AND Harvest?	
They proposed two entrances to Terry Rd - why does it need to connect to the neighborhood at all?	
Christi, what are the intentions with Harvest?	
Tina Burnell	
On Tue, Jun 21, 2022 at 9:32 AM St. Germain, Dante < <u>Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov</u> > wrote:	
The connections are a requirement of both the Land Development Code and the Comprehensive Plan.	
They are proposing a connection now to Joy, as required, but not to Harvest. They would need permission to not	

connect to Harvest, but have not yet submitted a request to my knowledge.

# Dante St. Germain, AICP

Planner II

Planning & Design Services

Department of Develop Louisville

LOUISVILLE FORWARD

444 South Fifth Street, Suite 300

Louisville, KY 40202

(502) 574-4388

https://louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design





Stay aware of new development in your area! Sign up for Gov Delivery notifications at:

https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/KYLOUISVILLE/subscriber/new

From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com >

Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 9:21 AM

To: St. Germain, Dante < Dante. St. Germain@louisvilleky.gov>

**Cc:** Christi Lanier-Robinson < <a href="mailto:crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com">crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com</a>; Weathers, Charles

# <Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov> Subject: Re: Proposed Complex 5127 Terry Rd CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe Originally Cliff told residents that they were not planning to open the complex to the Murray Heights neighborhood. Then updated plans showed Joy open and connecting. Now, are you saying they plan to open and connect both Joy and Harvest? Tina Burnell On Tue, Jun 21, 2022 at 8:28 AM St. Germain, Dante < Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov > wrote: I have attached the most recent agency comment sheet for this case. It has the plan reviewers for Transportation Planning and MSD listed.

5

Comprehensive Plan. The applicant can request permission to make only one connection but to my knowledge has

Connections to Joy Drive and Harvest Drive are a requirement of the Land Development Code and the

not done so yet.

# Dante St. Germain, AICP

Planner II

Planning & Design Services

Department of Develop Louisville

LOUISVILLE FORWARD

444 South Fifth Street, Suite 300

Louisville, KY 40202

(502) 574-4388

https://louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design





Stay aware of new development in your area! Sign up for Gov Delivery notifications at:

https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/KYLOUISVILLE/subscriber/new

**From:** Murray Heights < <a href="mailto:murrayheightsky@gmail.com">murrayheightsky@gmail.com</a>>

**Sent:** Friday, June 17, 2022 9:39 PM

**To:** Weathers, Charles < <a href="mailto:Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov">Christi Lanier-Robinson</a>

<crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com>; St. Germain, Dante < Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov>

Subject: Re: Proposed Complex 5127 Terry Rd

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

Thank you. Hope your daughter is doing better! What about #18 regarding the body of water? And did you get contacts for MSD and Public Works? They have to have a point person for this. Or Dante do you know the specific contacts for this proposal? We want to find out why the plans changed to open Joy Drive (which makes zero sense) and what the plan is for the ditch that runs behind the houses on Huberta. MSD is fully aware that it doesn't have any grade and constantly holds water and sludge. And Christi were you to find out about taking some of the buildings down to 2 story instead of 3 on those that back directly up to the properties on Huberta? And what about the existing tree canopy along that same path? Have there been any other updates or changes at this time? Tina Burnell On Tue, May 24, 2022 at 4:02 PM Christi Lanier-Robinson < <a href="mailto:crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com">crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com</a>> wrote: Tina-Wanted to get back to you with answers that I have thus far. I believe we discussed everything from questions 1-15. I have responses for questions16-18. See below. From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com> **Sent:** Friday, May 20, 2022 9:22 AM To: Christi Lanier-Robinson < crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com > Subject: Re: Proposed Complex 5127 Terry Rd

Ok. Thank you.
On Thu, May 19, 2022 at 10:57 AM Christi Lanier-Robinson < <a href="mailto:crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com">crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com</a> > wrote:  Hi Tina. I will follow up on this next week. i've been out of the office with my daughter who broke her collar bone.
The final is will follow up on this flext week. The been out of the office with my daughter who broke her contain bothe.
Will be back in the office beginning next week and will follow up then.
Sent from my iPhone
On May 19, 2022, at 8:55 AM, Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com > wrote:
Hey Christi,
I wanted to follow-up on this.
Tina Burnell
502-365-6949
On Mon, May 9, 2022 at 10:40 AM Christi Lanier-Robinson < <a href="mailto:crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com">crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com</a> wrote:
Thanks Tina. I will try to track down answers to these questions.
Best,
Christi
From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com >

**Sent:** Friday, May 6, 2022 7:13 PM

**To:** Christi Lanier-Robinson < <a href="mailto:crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com">crobinson@ldgdevelopment.com</a>>

Subject: Fwd: Proposed Complex 5127 Terry Rd

Hi Christine,

These were the additional questions that were sent and I think we actually discussed most of them, but there are a couple things here we missed.

Thank you,

Tina Burnell

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com >

Date: Tue, Apr 12, 2022 at 5:47 PM

Subject: Re: Automatic reply: Proposed Complex <u>5127 Terry Rd</u> To: Ashburner, Clifford < <u>Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com</u>>

Cc: <u>Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov</u> < <u>Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov</u>>, <u>dante.st.germain@louisvilleky.gov</u> < <u>dante.st.germain@louisvilleky.gov</u>>

Thank you for the update! Can you forward a copy of the plan?

There was a couple additional questions submitted, I will continue the number sequence...

16. Has MSD evaluated for potential drainage issues? Residents that live on Harvest state that at the development property edge one already exists with the ditch/lower land than lies there near them and it retains a lot of water with heavy rain.

Also the ditch that lies behind the houses on Huberta does not drain - MSD has been contacted about that numerous times over the years since its installation. It has no grade to facilitate draining and they never clean it unless it is called in. Mr. Weathers has assisted with this many times. Our plans have been submitted to MSD for review and we are awaiting feedback their feedback.

- 17. It appears there are two entrances planned for Terry Rd, one that sits directly across from Murray Lane on a curve that doesn't have good visual clearance. Are there any plans for traffic lights at either entrance? We have submitted our development plans and are waiting to hear what if any requirements for traffic lights will be required. Obviously, we will comply with whatever traffic signals we are asked to install.
- 18. There is a small body of water amongst the trees behind 3210 and 3212 Huberta what are the plans for that?
- 19. How (or does) the closing of Walmart on Raggard impact development plans? A traffic study is underway to determine what, if any impact the store closing will have on our proposal. If, as a result of the store closing, the proposed development plan needs to be modified, we will update the plan to incorporate those changes.

Thanks again for your help!

Tina Burnell

On Tue, Apr 12, 2022 at 5:21 PM Ashburner, Clifford < <a href="mailto:clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com">Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com</a>> wrote:

Tina:

I'll try to have a response to you by the end of the week. We've just submitted the plan, so there won't be any upcoming meetings or action on it for at least a few weeks.

Best,

Cliff

Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 5:02 PM  To: Ashburner, Clifford < Clifford. Ashburner@DINSMORE.COM>
Cc: Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov; dante.st.germain@louisvilleky.gov
Subject: Re: Automatic reply: Proposed Complex 5127 Terry Rd
Hi Mr. Ashburner,
I received the automated recognic to the email contlast week that indicated you were out of
I received the automated response to the email sent last week that indicated you were out of the office, so I wanted to follow up to see when I can expect a response to my inquiry?
Thank you,
Tina Burnell
Tilla Barrieli
On Mon, Apr 4, 2022 at 12:11 PM Ashburner, Clifford < <a href="mailto:clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com">Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com</a> > wrote:
wrote.
I am out of the office until April 11, 2022. I'll have sporadic access to email until then and will
get back with you as soon as possible next week.
NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission from the law firm of Dinsmore & Shohl may
constitute an attorney-client communication that is privileged at law. It is not intended for
transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying
it, and notify the sender by reply e-mail, so that our address record can be corrected.

From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com >

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

From: Ashburner, Clifford <Clifford.Ashburner@DINSMORE.COM>

**Sent:** Monday, May 2, 2022 9:55 PM

To: Murray Heights; Weathers, Charles; Johnson, Shannon; St. Germain, Dante;

cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com

**Subject:** RE: Automatic reply: Proposed Complex 5127 Terry Rd

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

Ms. Burnell,

I suggest that you contact the agencies that will review the application from the public's perspective and will, thus, be the best source of information for you. All of their contact information is publicly available but I would suggest starting with either Dante or Charles. They'll be able to guide you to the people who review applications within each agency.

Thank you,

Cliff

From: Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, May 2, 2022 7:27 PM

**To:** Ashburner, Clifford <Clifford.Ashburner@DINSMORE.COM>; Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov; Johnson, Shannon

 $<\!\!Shannon. Johnson@DINSMORE.COM\!\!>; St.~Germain, Dante<\!\!dante.st.germain@louisvilleky.gov\!\!>;$ 

cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com

Subject: Re: Automatic reply: Proposed Complex 5127 Terry Rd

Dante,

Please add Cliff's response and this exchange to the file. And if you have answers that he has not provided, that would be helpful.

Cliff.

4 weeks is an absurd amount of time to have to wait and as the attorney for the developer - refusing to provide thorough answers in a timely manner is unprofessional and unacceptable.

Just because in your mind "there is a long way to go before this case gets to the public meeting" doesn't mean we should have to wait until it suits you to get answers.

I will take this before a future Metro Council Meeting. You are not going to be dismissive to the community affected by this development.

I want direct contact information for everyone you suggested I reach out to directly.

I will also reach out to our local media.

Mr. Weathers,

please bring this to the attention of Mrs. Bowens.

Tina	Burnel	1
------	--------	---

On Mon, May 2, 2022 at 7:15 PM Ashburner, Clifford < <u>Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com</u>> wrote:

Ms. Burnell:

It appears that we may not be able to respond quickly enough to meet your needs. As I mentioned in my response Friday, there is a long way to go before this case gets to the public meeting at which our public hearing date will be set. To that end, I suggest that you reach out to Dante for answers to your plan questions, MSD for answers regarding drainage concerns, the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet District 5 office for questions on Terry Road, and Louisville Metro Public Works regarding Joy and Harvest.

We'll also be working with those agencies to address concerns that they have or concerns that may be brought to them by stakeholders like yourself during the review process.

Thank you,

Cliff

From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com >

Sent: Monday, May 2, 2022 6:33 PM

**To:** Ashburner, Clifford <Clifford.Ashburner@DINSMORE.COM>; Johnson, Shannon

<Shannon.Johnson@DINSMORE.COM>

Cc: Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov; cdischinger@ldgdevelopment.com; dante.st.germain@louisvilleky.gov

Subject: Re: Automatic reply: Proposed Complex 5127 Terry Rd

Still waiting on answers to the additional questions. This is ridiculous and unprofessional.

On Tue, Apr 12, 2022 at 5:47 PM Murray Heights < <u>murrayheightsky@gmail.com</u>> wrote:

Thank you for the update! Can you forward a copy of the plan?

There was a cou	ple additional	questions submitted	. I will	continue t	he number sea	uence
I II CI C W ab a coa	pre additional	questions suchificed	, . ,,,,,	COMMITTEE U	ile mamileer bee	aciice

16. Has MSD evaluated for potential drainage issues? Residents that live on Harvest state that at the development property edge one already exists with the ditch/lower land than lies there near them and it retains a lot of water with heavy rain.

Also the ditch that lies behind the houses on Huberta does not drain - MSD has been contacted about that numerous times over the years since its installation. It has no grade to facilitate draining and they never clean it unless it is called in. Mr. Weathers has assisted with this many times.

- 17. It appears there are two entrances planned for Terry Rd, one that sits directly across from Murray Lane on a curve that doesn't have good visual clearance. Are there any plans for traffic lights at either entrance?
- 18. There is a small body of water amongst the trees behind 3210 and 3212 Huberta what are the plans for that?
- 19. How (or does) the closing of Walmart on Raggard impact development plans?

Thanks again for your help!

### Tina Burnell

On Tue, Apr 12, 2022 at 5:21 PM Ashburner, Clifford < <u>Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com</u>> wrote:

Tina:

I'll try to have a response to you by the end of the week. We've just submitted the plan, so there won't be any upcoming meetings or action on it for at least a few weeks.

Best,

lifí

From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com > **Sent:** Tuesday, April 12, 2022 5:02 PM To: Ashburner, Clifford < Clifford. Ashburner@DINSMORE.COM> Cc: Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov; dante.st.germain@louisvilleky.gov Subject: Re: Automatic reply: Proposed Complex 5127 Terry Rd Hi Mr. Ashburner, I received the automated response to the email sent last week that indicated you were out of the office, so I wanted to follow up to see when I can expect a response to my inquiry? Thank you, Tina Burnell On Mon, Apr 4, 2022 at 12:11 PM Ashburner, Clifford < <u>Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com</u> > wrote: I am out of the office until April 11, 2022. I'll have sporadic access to email until then and will get back with you as soon as possible next week.

NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission from the law firm of Dinsmore & Shohl may constitute an attorney-client communication that is privileged at law. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying it, and notify the sender by reply e-mail, so that our address record can be corrected.

From: Dala Wolfe <dalawolfe@att.net>
Sent: Monday, May 2, 2022 5:38 PM

To: Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com; Shannon.Johnson@Dinsmore.com; St. Germain,

Dante; Weathers, Charles

**Cc:** Murrayheightsky@gmail.com

**Subject:** 5127 Terry Rd Proposed Development

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

I want to express my opposition to your proposed project, we have a very nice and quiet neighborhood and would love to keep it that way. A three story apartment complex would invade on several neighbors privacy not to mention we cannot handle the additional traffic this will cause. I'm very concerned the crime rate and the foot traffic will cause neighbors to give up their homes they have worked very long and hard for. I'm also wondering why it is being mentioned to open an entrance to either Joy Drive or Harvest Drive, several years ago someone tried this and was told we were at capacity and it could not happen, so why is it ok for this company to make their own rules about our neighborhood?? I've not heard one positive word about LDG, so why is this ok to let them throw apartments anywhere they choose? We all hear the City of Louisville wants to make things great and if this is so please do not allow apartments to be built in the middle of neighborhoods that take alot of pride in what they have!

From: donna.rock5 <donna.rock5@att.net>
Sent: Sunday, May 1, 2022 8:19 PM

To: Clifford.Ashburn@dinsmore.com; Shannon.Johnson@Dinsmore.com; St. Germain,

Dante; Charles. Wrathers@louisvilleky.gov

**Cc:** murrayheightsky@gmail.com

**Subject:** 5127 Terry Rd Proposed Development

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

I am opposed to this development. Traffic is already terrible. This will cause increased traffic. This is too close to our neighborhood. Apartmets bring increased crime. We have a quiet neighborhood now. This will change drastically.

I am also opposed to opening up this complex into our neighborhood. We are at capacity now. The original meeting did not include any roads being opened. Were you hiding this part of proposal? There is no way we can handle any additional traffic. This also opens up our neighborhood to undesirable foot traffic. What is the purpose in opening access to our neighborhood?

Our property value will also decrease with this proposal. Just because this is the southend does not mean that we can or should be discriminated against. Our voices need to be heard as well. Our concerns need to be considered and addressed.

Please include me in any future correspondence regarding this proposed development.

Regards Donna Rock

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

**From:** Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2022 5:06 PM

To: Ashburner, Clifford; Weathers, Charles; Liu, Emily; St. Germain, Dante

**Subject:** Re: Proposed Apartment Complex 5127 Terry Rd

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

I want these comments added to the record as well.

I sent the first email on April 3. Mr. Ashburner's auto-reply indicated he was on vacation that week.

He emailed back on April 12 and said he would try to have a response to me by the end of the week. That week came and went. Nothing.

Now nearly two more weeks have gone by and we still have no answers to the questions submitted. It should not take 4 weeks for us to get answers to our questions. We should not have to wait for Mr. Ashburner to respond at his leisure or weeks down the road. We were given less notice for the neighborhood meeting when this project was first made known to us.

I left Dante a message April 22 asking for info on what guidelines Louisville P&D has regarding this kind of situation as far as lack of communication on the developer's part. I received a return call message from Dante April 25 stating that there is no policy and they have no authority to make a third party respond.

We will hire an attorney of our own if this is not addressed and if communication is not expedited from this point forward. It isn't acceptable for us to have to wait for LDG to respond at their leisure or convenience. It is dismissive and unprofessional.

Their proposed development affects our community, our homes, our families. We have a reasonable expectation of a timely and thorough response to our questions and concerns.

Tina Burnell 502-365-6949

On Thu, Apr 21, 2022 at 8:12 AM St. Germain, Dante < Dante.St. Germain@louisvilleky.gov> wrote:

I can add your comments to the record, and I have done so, but I do not have answers to your questions and they were addressed to Cliff Ashburner, who is the correct person to answer them.

#### Dante St. Germain, AICP

Planner II

Planning & Design Services

Department of Develop Louisville

LOUISVILLE FORWARD

444 South Fifth Street, Suite 300

Louisville, KY 40202

(502) 574-4388

https://louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design





Stay aware of new development in your area! Sign up for Gov Delivery notifications at:

https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/KYLOUISVILLE/subscriber/new

From: Murray Heights < <a href="mailto:murrayheightsky@gmail.com">murrayheightsky@gmail.com</a> > Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2022 7:24 PM To: Ashburner, Clifford < <a href="mailto:clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com">clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com</a> ; Liu, Emily < <a href="mailto:emily.liu@louisvilleky.gov">emily.liu@louisvilleky.gov</a> ; St. Germain,
Dante < <u>Dante.St.Germain@louisvilleky.gov</u> >
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe
Ok. We weren't given information on who to reach out to other than Dante and Cliff - both who were copied on every email sent, Including the one I forwarded to you. And we still have no answers.
Residents are given very little opportunity for input at the actual meeting and no opportunity for rebuttal. We need adequate information well prior in order to ensure concerns are well informed if/when brought forth. That hasn't happened.
Tina Burnell
On Wed, Apr 20, 2022 at 6:06 PM Liu, Emily < emily.liu@louisvilleky.gov > wrote:
Hi Tina, thank you for the email. I have copied Cliff here. Hope he will reach out to you soon.
I would like you to know that our Planning Commissioners cannot discuss or respond to any pending cases outside public meetings. Please do not include them in your future inquiries or discussions on this case while it is pending.

Thanks
Emily
Yu "Emily" Liu
Director
Louisville Metro Planning and Design Services
Develop Louisville, Louisville Forward
444 S. 5 <sup>th</sup> Street, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
Louisville, KY 40202
502.574.6678
https://louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design
Sign up for development notifications in your area at:
https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/KYLOUISVILLE/subscriber/new
From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com >  Sout: Wodnesday, April 20, 2023 F.20 PM
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2022 5:30 PM  To: Liu, Emily < emily.liu@louisvilleky.gov >  Subject: Fwd: Proposed Apartment Complex 5127 Terry Rd
Subject: Pwd. Proposed Apartment Complex 3127 Terry Rd
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open
attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe
Please advise.
riease auvise.
Tina Burnall
Tina Burnell

Forwarded message
From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com >
Date: Wed, Apr 20, 2022 at 5:22 PM
Subject: Proposed Apartment Complex <u>5127 Terry Rd</u>
To: <dante.st.germain@louisvilleky.gov></dante.st.germain@louisvilleky.gov>
Cc: Ashburner, Clifford < <a href="mailto:Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com">Clifford &lt; <a href="mailto:Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com">Clifford &lt; <a href="mailto:Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com">Clifford &lt; <a href="mailto:Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com">Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com</a>&gt;, <a href="mailto:Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov">Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov</a>&gt;,</a></a></a>
< <u>Jeffrey.Brown@louisvilleky.gov</u> >, < <u>Lula.Howard@louisvilleky.gov</u> >
Dante,
On behalf of residents in our neighborhood, I submitted questions regarding the proposed apartment complex for 5127 Terry Rd to Mr. Ashburner on April 4, 2022. Having received an auto-reply that he was out of office, I followed-up on April 12, 2022. Mr. Ashburner said he would try to have a response to me by the end of the week. That week came and went. I sent an additional email to follow-up yesterday April 19, 2022 and have not yet received a response
Please advise. It is my understanding that our community residents should be able to ask questions and receive timely responses. It would be most helpful for us to have answers and a more informed understanding of the proposal.
Sincerely,
Tina Burnell
502-365-6949
The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

From: Sent:	Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com> Wednesday, April 20, 2022 7:24 PM</murrayheightsky@gmail.com>
To:	Ashburner, Clifford; Liu, Emily; St. Germain, Dante
Subject:	Re: Proposed Apartment Complex 5127 Terry Rd
	e from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open ecognize the sender and know the content is safe
_	n on who to reach out to other than Dante and Cliff - both who were copied on every rwarded to you. And we still have no answers.
	portunity for input at the actual meeting and no opportunity for rebuttal. We need in order to ensure concerns are well informed if/when brought forth. That hasn't
Tina Burnell	
	I Liu, Emily < emily.liu@louisvilleky.gov > wrote:  I have copied Cliff here. Hope he will reach out to you soon.
I would like you to know that ou	or Planning Commissioners cannot discuss or respond to any pending cases outside
public meetings. Please do not i	include them in your future inquiries or discussions on this case while it is pending.
Thanks	
Emily	
Yu "Emily" Liu	
Director	
Louisville Metro Planning and De	esign Services

Develop Louisville, Louisville Forward
444 S. 5 <sup>th</sup> Street, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
Louisville, KY 40202
502.574.6678
https://louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design
Sign up for development notifications in your area at:
https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/KYLOUISVILLE/subscriber/new
From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com > Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2022 5:30 PM
To: Liu, Emily < emily.liu@louisvilleky.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Proposed Apartment Complex 5127 Terry Rd
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe  Please advise.  Tina Burnell
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe  Please advise.  Tina Burnell
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe  Please advise.  Tina Burnell
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe  Please advise.  Tina Burnell
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe  Please advise.  Tina Burnell
CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe  Please advise.  Tina Burnell

On behalf of residents in our neighborhood, I submitted questions regarding the proposed apartment complex for 5127 Terry Rd to Mr. Ashburner on April 4, 2022. Having received an auto-reply that he was out of office, I followed-up on April 12, 2022. Mr. Ashburner said he would try to have a response to me by the end of the week. That week came and went. I sent an additional email to follow-up yesterday April 19, 2022 and have not yet received a response.
Please advise. It is my understanding that our community residents should be able to ask questions and receive timely responses. It would be most helpful for us to have answers and a more informed understanding of the proposal.
Sincerely,
Tina Burnell
502-365-6949
The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

From: Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 19, 2022 3:56 PM

**To:** Ashburner, Clifford

**Cc:** Weathers, Charles; St. Germain, Dante **Subject:** Re: Proposed Complex 5127 Terry Rd

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

Haven't received a response to any questions sent yet. Following-up.

Thank you, Tina Burnell

On Tue, Apr 12, 2022 at 5:47 PM Murray Heights < <a href="mailto:murrayheightsky@gmail.com">murrayheightsky@gmail.com</a> wrote: Thank you for the update! Can you forward a copy of the plan?

There was a couple additional questions submitted, I will continue the number sequence...

16. Has MSD evaluated for potential drainage issues? Residents that live on Harvest state that at the development property edge one already exists with the ditch/lower land than lies there near them and it retains a lot of water with heavy rain.

Also the ditch that lies behind the houses on Huberta does not drain - MSD has been contacted about that numerous times over the years since its installation. It has no grade to facilitate draining and they never clean it unless it is called in. Mr. Weathers has assisted with this many times.

- 17. It appears there are two entrances planned for Terry Rd, one that sits directly across from Murray Lane on a curve that doesn't have good visual clearance. Are there any plans for traffic lights at either entrance?
- 18. There is a small body of water amongst the trees behind 3210 and 3212 Huberta what are the plans for that?
- 19. How (or does) the closing of Walmart on Raggard impact development plans?

# Thanks again for your help! Tina Burnell On Tue, Apr 12, 2022 at 5:21 PM Ashburner, Clifford < <a href="mailto:Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com">Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com</a> wrote: Tina: I'll try to have a response to you by the end of the week. We've just submitted the plan, so there won't be any upcoming meetings or action on it for at least a few weeks. Best, Cliff From: Murray Heights < murrayheightsky@gmail.com > Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 5:02 PM To: Ashburner, Clifford < <a href="mailto:clifford.Ashburner@DINSMORE.COM">Clifford.Ashburner@DINSMORE.COM</a>> Cc: Charles.Weathers@louisvilleky.gov; dante.st.germain@louisvilleky.gov Subject: Re: Automatic reply: Proposed Complex 5127 Terry Rd Hi Mr. Ashburner, I received the automated response to the email sent last week that indicated you were out of the office, so I wanted

to follow up to see when I can expect a response to my inquiry?

Thank you,

Tina Burnell

On Mon, Apr 4, 2022 at 12:11 PM Ashburner, Clifford < <a href="mailto:clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com">Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.com</a> > wrote:
I am out of the office until April 11, 2022. I'll have sporadic access to email until then and will get back with you as soon as possible next week.
NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission from the law firm of Dinsmore & Shohl may constitute an attorney-client communication that is privileged at law. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying it, and notify the sender by reply e-mail, so that our address record can be corrected.

From: Murray Heights <murrayheightsky@gmail.com>

Sent:Monday, April 4, 2022 12:11 PMTo:Clifford.Ashburner@dinsmore.comCc:St. Germain, Dante; Weathers, CharlesSubject:Proposed Complex 5127 Terry Rd

**Attachments:** image\_50413569.JPG

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

Mr. Ashburner,

To facilitate communication, I am submitting questions on behalf of my own family as well as fellow residents in Murray Heights - (the neighborhood that backs up to the property listed).

My husband and I have lived on Huberta Drive with our family for 23 years and the proposed complex will directly back up to our property. We are opposed to this development.

With that said...

- 1. It appears many in the neighborhood were not aware of the proposed development and did not receive notice for the neighborhood meeting that took place March 15, 2022. What were the boundaries for the notification and can you provide a list of those addresses that were sent notices in the mail?
- 2. How can those who did not receive the initial notification get on the list to receive all future notifications?
- 3. A few complained about not being able to connect to the meeting via technical difficulties. Is that meeting available online and if so, can you provide the link? If it is not available, can you provide the minutes and/or notes from that meeting?
- 4. There is a significant tree canopy with well-developed growth that runs behind the properties on Huberta Drive, including ours at 3210. Will that canopy remain in place? It effectively acts as a barrier between the houses and the current open field. (pic attached of the tree canopy as seen from our backyard today the rest has also been photographed if you want to see those) Wildlife, including deer, coyotes, foxes, turkey, rabbits, and a abundant range of birds inhabit this area. A bald eagle has also been observed in that area.
- 5. What is the distance from the MSD drainage ditch behind the houses on Huberta and the start up of property development including any proposed fence line? (If you could include a marked layout for this, that would be most helpful)
- 6. Can you provide a full range of plans for the development that includes a visual layout of the apartment design, as well as one with barrier landscaping and surrounding fencing plans?
- 7. Will the current dead end streets of Joy Drive and/or Harvest Drive be opened to the complex?
- 8. Has a traffic study been ordered? A full study, not an analysis. Is there any current information available to that end?

- 9. Will the complex accept and benefit from Louisville Metro Housing Choice vouchers?
- 10. How much funding, whether through loans or other incentives, is being provided by the Louisville Affordable Housing Trust Fund? Please provide documentation.
- 11. What is the breakdown for the number of bedrooms per unit for the 9 buildings? Are all buildings 3 story?
- 12. How does LGD respond to concerns regarding their history of substandard maintenance and management of their complexes, as well as substantiated violations? e.g. -

"In 2010, the Indianapolis Housing Agency demanded that LDG repay \$400,500 in federal Section 8 housing payments because of violations that included substandard housing conditions and a high incidence of crime in the complex."

"LDG owns 94 properties in Jefferson County and over 40% of those have had code violations in the last 5 years. A quick search with the Kentucky Secretary of State shows that they are connected to a host of other development interests and property management companies that also have numerous property maintenance issues."

13. I recall that LDG owner, Chris Dischinger, took to the Courier Journal to blast and attempt to shame those opposed to the Prospect Development. How many LDG complexes are within 5-10 miles of Mr. Dischinger's residence? or yours? or any other top executives at LDG?

https://www.courier-journal.com/story/opinion/2019/09/26/prospect-residents-threats-racism-over-affordable-housing-plan-foul/3773522002/

- 14. Please provide a complete list, including addresses, of all LDG developments that currently exist, are in process of development, or are proposed at this time for Louisville. We also request the funding information, whether through loans or other incentives, that was or is being provided by the Louisville Affordable Housing Trust Fund to LDG for any and all of those developments.
- 15. What is the full range of financial incentives or means provided by the city of Louisville to LDG for this specific development?

Sincerely, Tina Burnell 502-365-6949

