

**Planning Commission
Staff Report**
May 7, 2015



| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Case No: | 14zone1046 |
| Request: | Change in zoning from R-4 to M-2 |
| Project Name: | Premier Packaging |
| Location: | 3900 Produce Road |
| Owner: | Prempack Properties |
| Applicant: | Prempack Properties |
| Representative: | Gresham Smith and Partners; Valenti Hanley and Robinson PLLC. |
| Jurisdiction: | Louisville Metro |
| Council District: | 10-Steve Magre |
| Case Manager: | Julia Williams, AICP, Planner II |

REQUEST

- Change in zoning from R-4 to M-2
- Waiver from 10.2.12 to provide more than 120' between ILA's ⁵²
- District Development plan

CASE SUMMARY/BACKGROUND/SITE CONTEXT

Existing Zoning District: R-4
 Proposed Zoning District: M-2
 Existing Form District: SW
 Existing Use: Vacant
 Proposed Use: Trailer storage
 Minimum Parking Spaces Required: 111 spaces
 Maximum Parking Spaces Allowed: 185 spaces
 Parking Spaces Proposed: 111 Spaces

The proposal is for the expansion of an existing business into a residential swath of land that has been preserved along the frontage of the subject site but also along the adjacent properties to the north and south. The site is surrounded by other M-2 industrial properties to the north, south, and east. Across Poplar Level Road is more of a mix of commercial and industrial zoned properties.

LAND USE/ZONING DISTRICT/FORM DISTRICT TABLE

| | Land Use | Zoning | Form District |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|
| <i>Subject Property</i> | | | |
| Existing | Vacant | R-4 | SW |
| Proposed | Trailer storage | M-2 | SW |
| <i>Surrounding Properties</i> | | | |
| North | Industrial | M-2 | SW |
| South | Industrial | R-4/M-2 | SW |
| East | Industrial | M-2 | SW |
| West | Commercial/Industrial | C-1/C-2/M-2 | N |

PREVIOUS CASES ON SITE

None.

INTERESTED PARTY COMMENTS

None received.

APPLICABLE PLANS AND POLICIES

Cornerstone 2020
Land Development Code

STANDARD OF REVIEW FOR REZONING

Criteria for granting the proposed form district change/rezoning: KRS Chapter 100.213

1. The proposed form district/rezoning change complies with the applicable guidelines and policies Cornerstone 2020; OR
2. The existing form district/zoning classification is inappropriate and the proposed classification is appropriate; OR
3. There have been major changes of an economic, physical, or social nature within the area involved which were not anticipated in Cornerstone 2020 which have substantially altered the basic character of the area.

STAFF ANALYSIS FOR REZONING

Following is staff's analysis of the proposed rezoning against the Guidelines and Policies of Cornerstone 2020.

The site is located in the Suburban Workplace Form District

A Suburban Workplace is a form characterized by predominately industrial and office uses where the buildings are set back from the street in a landscaped setting. Suburban workplaces often contain a single large-scale use or a cluster of uses within a master planned development. New larger proposed industrial uses are encouraged to apply for a planned development district.

In order to provide adequate transportation access in suburban workplaces connected roads, public transportation and pedestrian facilities should be encouraged. Walkways to workplace-serving uses are encouraged for workplace employees. Development within suburban workplace form districts may need significant buffering from abutting uses.

The proposal integrates into the mixed pattern of development along Poplar Level Road. Buildings are typically setback from the street but not in a consistent landscaped setting. The proposal is part of an established industrial area north of Poplar Level Road. A variety of uses are within the existing industrial area. The proposal will not affect existing roads and will also not propose new roads. Access to transit is provided and pedestrians are also provided for. No new building is proposed. The setbacks in the area are mixed and the trailer area would not be a significant change in the Poplar Level view shed. The loading and storage areas located adjacent to the existing residential zone will not have any adverse impacts because the adjacent R-4 site is not residentially used nor it is an established residential area. The 15' required LBA along Poplar Level and Produce is being provided. The proposal is for the high intensity M-2 zoning and is located along a transit corridor as well as within an existing mixed use activity center.

The proposal calls for the removal of a treed area that is approximately 2.3 acres for trailer storage.

All other agency comments should be addressed to demonstrate compliance with the remaining Guidelines and Policies of Cornerstone 2020.

A checklist is attached to the end of this staff report with a more detailed analysis. The Louisville Metro Planning Commission is charged with making a recommendation to the Louisville Metro Council regarding the appropriateness of this zoning map amendment. The Louisville Metro Council has zoning authority over the property in question.

STANDARD OF REVIEW AND STAFF ANALYSIS FOR DDP

- a. The conservation of natural resources on the property proposed for development, including: trees and other living vegetation, steep slopes, water courses, flood plains, soils, air quality, scenic views, and historic sites;

STAFF: There are no environmental constraints or historic resources on the subject site. Tree canopy requirements of the Land Development Code will be provided on the subject site.

- b. The provisions for safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian transportation both within the development and the community;

STAFF: Provisions for safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian transportation within and around the development and the community has been provided, and Metro Public Works and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet have approved the preliminary development plan.

- c. The provision of sufficient open space (scenic and recreational) to meet the needs of the proposed development;

STAFF: There are no open space requirements with the current proposal. Open space is provided in the form of LBAs and the large open area at the corner of Produce and Poplar Level.

- d. The provision of adequate drainage facilities on the subject site in order to prevent drainage problems from occurring on the subject site or within the community;

STAFF: The Metropolitan Sewer District has approved the preliminary development plan and will ensure the provisions of adequate drainage facilities on the subject site in order to prevent drainage problems from occurring on the subject site or within the community.

- e. The compatibility of the overall site design (location of buildings, parking lots, screening, landscaping) and land use or uses with the existing and projected future development of the area;

STAFF: The overall site design and land uses are compatible with the existing and future development of the area. Appropriate landscape buffering and screening will be provided to screen adjacent properties and roadways. Buildings and parking lots will meet all required setbacks.

- f. Conformance of the development plan with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code.

STAFF: The development plan conforms to applicable guidelines and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and to requirements of the Land Development Code.

STANDARD OF REVIEW AND STAFF ANALYSIS FOR WAIVER

- (a) The waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners; and

STAFF: The waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners since the adjacent properties are all industrial and the parking is existing.

- (b) The waiver will not violate specific guidelines of Cornerstone 2020; and

STAFF: The waiver will not violate guideline 3, Compatibility, of Cornerstone 2020, which calls for the protection of roadway corridors and public areas from visual intrusions, for mitigation of parking areas so as not to negatively impact nearby residents and pedestrians, and for parking areas adjacent to streets to be screened and buffered. The waiver will not violate guideline 13, Landscape Character, which calls for the protection of parkways through standards for buffers, landscape treatment, lighting and signs. The purpose of vehicle use area landscape buffer areas is to improve the appearance of vehicular use areas and property abutting public rights-of way. ILAs are used to break up large areas of pavement. The parking is existing and the total ILA requirements are being met.

- (c) The extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant; and

STAFF: The extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant since the parking is existing and there are no proposed changes to the building or employees.

- (d) Either:

(i) The applicant has incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived (net beneficial effect); OR

(ii) The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant.

STAFF: The strict application would deprive the applicant of use of the land because the parking is existing and the only expansion is for trailer storage. The trailer storage is on another part of the site and would not affect the existing parking lot.

TECHNICAL REVIEW

- All agency review comments have been addressed.

STAFF CONCLUSIONS

The proposal integrates into the mixed pattern of development along Poplar Level Road. Buildings are typically setback from the street but not in a consistent landscaped setting. The proposal is part of an established industrial area north of Poplar Level Road. A variety of uses are within the existing industrial area. The proposal will not affect existing roads and will also not propose new roads. Access to transit is provided and pedestrians are also provided for. No new building is proposed. The setbacks in the area are mixed and the trailer area would not be a significant change in the Poplar Level view shed. The loading and storage areas located adjacent to the existing residential zone will not have any adverse impacts because the adjacent R-4 site is not residentially used nor it is an established residential area. The 15' required LBA along Poplar Level and Produce is being provided. The proposal is for the high intensity M-2 zoning and is located along a transit corridor as well as within an existing mixed use activity center.

The proposal calls for the removal of a treed area that is approximately 2.3 acres for trailer storage.

Based upon the information in the staff report, the testimony and evidence provided at the public hearing, the Planning Commission must determine if the proposal is in conformance with the Comprehensive Plan; OR the existing form district/zoning classification is inappropriate and the proposed classification is appropriate; OR if there have been major changes of an economic, physical, or social nature within the area involved which were not anticipated in Cornerstone 2020 which have substantially altered the basic character of the area.


NOTIFICATION

| Date | Purpose of Notice | Recipients |
|---------|----------------------------------|--|
| 3/26/15 | Hearing before LD&T on 4/9/15 | 1 st and 2 nd tier adjoining property owners Subscribers of Council District 10 Notification of Development Proposals |
| 4/22/15 | Hearing before PC on May 7, 2015 | 1 st and 2 nd tier adjoining property owners Subscribers of Council District 10 Notification of Development Proposals |
| 4/22/15 | Hearing before PC | Sign Posting on property |
| | Hearing before PC | Legal Advertisement in the Courier-Journal |


ATTACHMENTS

1. Zoning Map
2. Aerial Photograph
3. Cornerstone 2020 Staff Checklist
4. Site Inspection Report
5. Proposed Binding Elements

1. Zoning Map



2. Aerial Photograph



3. Cornerstone 2020 Staff Checklist

- + Exceeds Guideline
- ✓ Meets Guideline
- Does Not Meet Guideline
- +/- More Information Needed
- NA Not Applicable

Suburban Workplace: Non-Residential

| # | Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element | Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element | Staff Finding | Staff Comments |
|---|---|--|---------------|--|
| 1 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form | B.10: The proposal integrates into the pattern of development, which features buildings set back from the street in a landscaped setting. | ✓ | The proposal integrates into the mixed pattern of development along Poplar Level Road. Buildings are typically setback from the street but not in a consistent landscaped setting. |
| 2 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form | B.10: The proposal integrates into a planned development that features a mixture of related uses, and that may contain either a single major use or a cluster of uses. | ✓ | The proposal is part of an established industrial area north of Poplar Level Road. A variety of uses are within the existing industrial area. |
| 3 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form | B.10: The proposal incorporates connected roads, encourages access to public transportation, and provides for pedestrians. | ✓ | The proposal will not affect existing roads and will also not propose new roads. Access to transit is provided and pedestrians are also provided for. |
| 4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.2: The proposed building materials increase the new development's compatibility. | NA | No new building is proposed. |
| 5 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.4/5/6/7: The proposal does not constitute a non-residential expansion into an existing residential area, or demonstrates that despite such an expansion, impacts on existing residences (including traffic, parking, signs, lighting, noise, odor and stormwater) are appropriately mitigated. | ✓ | The proposal is not a non-residential expansion into a residential area. |
| 6 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.5: The proposal mitigates any potential odor or emissions associated with the development. | ✓ | APCD has no issues with the proposal. |
| 7 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.6: The proposal mitigates any adverse impacts of its associated traffic on nearby existing communities. | ✓ | Transportation planning has not indicated any adverse impacts on the traffic. |
| 8 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.8: The proposal mitigates adverse impacts of its lighting on nearby properties, and on the night sky. | ✓ | Lighting will meet LDC requirements. |
| 9 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.11: If the proposal is a higher density or intensity use, it is located along a transit corridor AND in or near an activity center. | ✓ | The proposal is for the high intensity M-2 zoning and is located along a transit corridor as well as within an existing mixed use activity center. |

| # | Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element | Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element | Staff Finding | Staff Comments |
|----|--|---|---------------|--|
| 10 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.21: The proposal provides appropriate transitions between uses that are substantially different in scale and intensity or density of development such as landscaped buffer yards, vegetative berms, compatible building design and materials, height restrictions, or setback requirements. | ✓ | The proposal is located adjacent to R-4 zoning but the land is not residentially used. |
| 11 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.22: The proposal mitigates the impacts caused when incompatible developments unavoidably occur adjacent to one another by using buffers that are of varying designs such as landscaping, vegetative berms and/or walls, and that address those aspects of the development that have the potential to adversely impact existing area developments. | ✓ | The 15' required LBA along Poplar Level and Produce is being provided. |
| 12 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.23: Setbacks, lot dimensions and building heights are compatible with those of nearby developments that meet form district standards. | ✓ | No new building is proposed. The setbacks in the area are mixed and the trailer area would not be a significant change in the Poplar Level view shed. |
| 13 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.24: Parking, loading and delivery areas located adjacent to residential areas are designed to minimize adverse impacts of lighting, noise and other potential impacts, and that these areas are located to avoid negatively impacting motorists, residents and pedestrians. | ✓ | The loading and storage areas located adjacent to the existing residential zone will not have any adverse impacts because the adjacent R-4 site is not residentially used nor it is an established residential area. |
| 14 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.24: The proposal includes screening and buffering of parking and circulation areas adjacent to the street, and uses design features or landscaping to fill gaps created by surface parking lots. Parking areas and garage doors are oriented to the side or back of buildings rather than to the street. | ✓ | The 15' required LBA along Poplar Level and Produce is being provided. |
| 15 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.25: Parking garages are integrated into their surroundings and provide an active, inviting street-level appearance. | NA | A parking garage is not proposed. |
| 16 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.28: Signs are compatible with the form district pattern and contribute to the visual quality of their surroundings. | NA | No new signs are proposed. |

| # | Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element | Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element | Staff Finding | Staff Comments |
|----|--|--|---------------|--|
| 17 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 4: Open Space | A.2/3/7: The proposal provides open space that helps meet the needs of the community as a component of the development and provides for the continued maintenance of that open space. | ✓ | Open space is being provided on site at the corner of Poplar Level and Produce as well as at Poplar Level and the access easement. |
| 18 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 4: Open Space | A.4: Open space design is consistent with the pattern of development in the Neighborhood Form District. | NA | The proposal is not located in the NFD. |
| 19 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 4: Open Space | A.5: The proposal integrates natural features into the pattern of development. | - | The proposal calls for the removal of a treed area that is approximately 2.3 acres for trailer storage. |
| 20 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 5: Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources | A.1: The proposal respects the natural features of the site through sensitive site design, avoids substantial changes to the topography and minimizes property damage and environmental degradation resulting from disturbance of natural systems. | - | The proposal calls for the removal of a treed area that is approximately 2.3 acres for trailer storage. |
| 21 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 5: Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources | A.2/4: The proposal includes the preservation, use or adaptive reuse of buildings, sites, districts and landscapes that are recognized as having historical or architectural value, and, if located within the impact area of these resources, is compatible in height, bulk, scale, architecture and placement. | ✓ | Historic Preservation has no issues with the proposal. |
| 22 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 5: Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources | A.6: Encourage development to avoid wet or highly permeable soils, severe, steep or unstable slopes with the potential for severe erosion. | NA | Soils are not an issue with the site. |
| 23 | Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability | A.1: Limit land uses in workplace districts to those land uses necessary to meet the needs of the industrial subdivision or workplace district and their employees. | ✓ | The proposal is for an expansion of an existing business within an existing industrial subdivision. |
| 24 | Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability | A.3: Encourage redevelopment, reinvestment and rehabilitation in the downtown where it is consistent with the form district pattern. | NA | The proposal is not located in a downtown. |
| 25 | Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability | A.4: Encourage industries to locate in industrial subdivisions or adjacent to existing industry to take advantage of special infrastructure needs. | ✓ | The expansion of the existing industrial business is occurring within an existing industrial subdivision. |

| # | Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element | Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element | Staff Finding | Staff Comments |
|----|---|--|---------------|--|
| 26 | Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability | A.6: Locate retail commercial development in activity centers. Locate uses generating large amounts of traffic on a major arterial, at the intersection of two minor arterials or at locations with good access to a major arterial and where the proposed use will not adversely affect adjacent areas. | ✓ | The proposal is located at the intersection of two arterials. |
| 27 | Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability | A.8: Require industrial development with more than 100 employees to locate on or near an arterial street, preferably in close proximity to an expressway interchange. Require industrial development with less than 100 employees to locate on or near an arterial street. | ✓ | The proposal is located along Poplar Level which is a major arterial that leads to the Watterson Expressway. |
| 28 | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation | A.1/2: The proposal will contribute its proportional share of the cost of roadway improvements and other services and public facilities made necessary by the development through physical improvements to these facilities, contribution of money, or other means. | ✓ | Transportation planning is not requiring roadway improvements. |
| 29 | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation | A.3/4: The proposal promotes mass transit, bicycle and pedestrian use and provides amenities to support these modes of transportation. | ✓ | All forms of transportation is being provided for on the site. |
| 30 | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation | A.6: The proposal's transportation facilities are compatible with and support access to surrounding land uses, and contribute to the appropriate development of adjacent lands. The proposal includes at least one continuous roadway through the development, adequate street stubs, and relies on cul-de-sacs only as short side streets or where natural features limit development of "through" roads. | ✓ | There are at least two access points to and from the site. An access easement off of Poplar Level is shared with the industrial site to the south. |
| 31 | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation | A.9: The proposal includes the dedication of rights-of-way for street, transit corridors, bikeway and walkway facilities within or abutting the development. | ✓ | Transportation Planning is not requiring the dedication of ROW. |
| 32 | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation | A.10: The proposal includes adequate parking spaces to support the use. | ✓ | Adequate parking is provided. |

| # | Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element | Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element | Staff Finding | Staff Comments |
|----|--|---|---------------|--|
| 33 | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation | A.13/16: The proposal provides for joint and cross access through the development and to connect to adjacent development sites. | ✓ | There are at least two access points to and from the site. An access easement off of Poplar Level is shared with the industrial site to the south. |
| 34 | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 8: Transportation Facility Design | A.8: Adequate stub streets are provided for future roadway connections that support and contribute to appropriate development of adjacent land. | NA | No new roadways are being created. |
| 35 | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 8: Transportation Facility Design | A.9: Avoid access to development through areas of significantly lower intensity or density if such access would create a significant nuisance. | ✓ | Access to the development is through similarly zoned areas. |
| 36 | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 8: Transportation Facility Design | A.11: The development provides for an appropriate functional hierarchy of streets and appropriate linkages between activity areas in and adjacent to the development site. | NA | No new roadways are being created. |
| 37 | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 9: Bicycle, Pedestrian and Transit | A.1/2: The proposal provides, where appropriate, for the movement of pedestrians, bicyclists and transit users around and through the development, provides bicycle and pedestrian connections to adjacent developments and to transit stops, and is appropriately located for its density and intensity. | ✓ | All forms of transportation are being provided for on the proposal. |
| 38 | Livability/Environment Guideline 10: Flooding and Stormwater | The proposal's drainage plans have been approved by MSD, and the proposal mitigates negative impacts to the floodplain and minimizes impervious area. Solid blue line streams are protected through a vegetative buffer, and drainage designs are capable of accommodating upstream runoff assuming a fully-developed watershed. If streambank restoration or preservation is necessary, the proposal uses best management practices. | ✓ | MSD has no issues with the proposal. |
| 39 | Livability/Environment Guideline 12: Air Quality | The proposal has been reviewed by APCD and found to not have a negative impact on air quality. | ✓ | APCD has no issues with the proposal. |
| 40 | Livability/Environment Guideline 13: Landscape Character | A.3: The proposal includes additions and connections to a system of natural corridors that can provide habitat areas and allow for migration. | - | Any natural area on the site is being lost because the proposal calls for the removal of a treed area that is approximately 2.3 acres for trailer storage. |

| # | Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element | Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element | Staff Finding | Staff Comments |
|----|---|---|---------------|--|
| 41 | Community Facilities Guideline 14: Infrastructure | A.2: The proposal is located in an area served by existing utilities or planned for utilities. | ✓ | Existing utilities will serve the site. |
| 42 | Community Facilities Guideline 14: Infrastructure | A.3: The proposal has access to an adequate supply of potable water and water for fire-fighting purposes. | ✓ | An adequate water supply is available to the site. |
| 43 | Community Facilities Guideline 14: Infrastructure | A.4: The proposal has adequate means of sewage treatment and disposal to protect public health and to protect water quality in lakes and streams. | ✓ | The health department has no issues with the proposal. |

4. **Site Inspection Report**

Site Inspection Committee Report

Date: 4/23/15

Case Number: 14ZONE1046

Project: Premier Packaging

Address: 3900 Produce Rd

Attendees: Jeff Brown

Case Manager: Julia Williams

Observations:

Describe subject property

- Large industrial building with loading docks on the east and west side of the building and employee parking along the north, nearest Produce Rd.

Describe surrounding area

- Surrounded completely by commercial and industrial sites.
- Large MSD regional detention area to the south of the site.

Questions/ Concerns:

1. Will the existing heavily tree area along the south side of the site be preserved?

5. Proposed Binding Elements

1. The development shall be in accordance with the approved district development plan, all applicable sections of the Land Development Code (LDC) and agreed upon binding elements unless amended pursuant to the Land Development Code. Any changes/additions/alterations of any binding element(s) shall be submitted to the Planning Commission or the Planning Commission's designee for review and approval; any changes/additions/alterations not so referred shall not be valid.
2. The development shall not exceed 240,000 square feet of gross floor area.
3. No outdoor advertising signs, small freestanding signs, pennants, balloons, or banners shall be permitted on the site.
4. Construction fencing shall be erected when off-site trees or tree canopy exists within 3' of a common property line. Fencing shall be in place prior to any grading or construction to protect the existing root systems from compaction. The fencing shall enclose the entire area beneath the tree canopy and shall remain in place until all construction is completed. No parking, material storage or construction activities are permitted within the protected area.
5. Before any permit (including but not limited to building, parking lot, change of use, site disturbance, alteration permit or demolition permit) is requested:
 - a. The development plan must receive full construction approval from Louisville Metro Department of Inspections, Permits and Licenses, Louisville Metro Public Works and the Metropolitan Sewer District.
 - b. Encroachment permits must be obtained from the Kentucky Department of Transportation, Bureau of Highways.
 - c. The property owner/developer must obtain approval of a detailed plan for screening (buffering/landscaping) as described in Chapter 10 prior to requesting a building permit. Such plan shall be implemented prior to occupancy of the site and shall be maintained thereafter.
 - d. A Tree Preservation Plan in accordance with Chapter 10 of the LDC shall be reviewed and approved prior to obtaining approval for site disturbance.
6. A permit must be received from the appropriate code enforcement department prior to occupancy of the structure or land for the proposed use. All binding elements requiring action and approval must be implemented prior to requesting issuance of the certificate of occupancy, unless specifically waived by the Planning Commission.
7. The applicant, developer, or property owner shall provide copies of these binding elements to tenants, purchasers, contractors, subcontractors and other parties engaged in development of this site and shall advise them of the content of these binding elements. These binding elements shall run with the land and the owner of the property and occupant of the property shall at all times be responsible for compliance with these binding elements. At all times during development of the site, the applicant and developer, their heirs, successors; and assignees, contractors, subcontractors, and other parties engaged in development of the site, shall be responsible for compliance with these binding elements.
8. The Owner/Developer shall install a 12 foot wide concrete boarding area from sidewalk to the curb/edge of pavement.

Land Development and Transportation Committee Staff Report

April 9, 2015



| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Case No: | 14zone1046 |
| Request: | Change in zoning from R-4 to M-2 |
| Project Name: | Premier Packaging |
| Location: | 3900 Produce Road |
| Owner: | Prempack Properties |
| Applicant: | Prempack Properties |
| Representative: | Gresham Smith and Partners; Valenti Hanley and Robinson PLLC. |
| Jurisdiction: | Louisville Metro |
| Council District: | 10-Steve Magre |
| Case Manager: | Julia Williams, AICP, Planner II |

REQUEST

- Change in zoning from R-4 to M-2
- Waiver from 10.2.12 to provide more than 120' between ILA's
- District Development plan

CASE SUMMARY/BACKGROUND/SITE CONTEXT

Existing Zoning District: R-4
 Proposed Zoning District: M-2
 Existing Form District: SW
 Existing Use: Vacant
 Proposed Use: Trailer storage
 Minimum Parking Spaces Required: 111 spaces
 Maximum Parking Spaces Allowed: 185 spaces
 Parking Spaces Proposed: 111 Spaces

PC 5/7

The proposal is for the expansion of an existing business into a residential swath of land that has been preserved along the frontage of the subject site but also along the adjacent properties to the north and south. The site is surrounded by other M-2 industrial properties to the north, south, and east. Across Poplar Level Road is more of a mix of commercial and industrial zoned properties.

LAND USE/ZONING DISTRICT/FORM DISTRICT TABLE

| | Land Use | Zoning | Form District |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Subject Property | | | |
| Existing | Vacant | R-4 | SW |
| Proposed | Trailer storage | M-2 | SW |
| Surrounding Properties | | | |
| North | Industrial | M-2 | SW |
| South | Industrial | R-4/M-2 | SW |
| East | Industrial | M-2 | SW |
| West | Commercial/Industrial | C-1/C-2/M-2 | N |

PREVIOUS CASES ON SITE

None.

INTERESTED PARTY COMMENTS

None received.

APPLICABLE PLANS AND POLICIES

Cornerstone 2020
Land Development Code

STANDARD OF REVIEW FOR REZONING

Criteria for granting the proposed form district change/rezoning: KRS Chapter 100.213

1. The proposed form district/rezoning change complies with the applicable guidelines and policies Cornerstone 2020; OR
2. The existing form district/zoning classification is inappropriate and the proposed classification is appropriate; OR
3. There have been major changes of an economic, physical, or social nature within the area involved which were not anticipated in Cornerstone 2020 which have substantially altered the basic character of the area.

STAFF ANALYSIS FOR REZONING

Following is staff's analysis of the proposed rezoning against the Guidelines and Policies of Cornerstone 2020.

The site is located in the Suburban Workplace Form District

A Suburban Workplace is a form characterized by predominately industrial and office uses where the buildings are set back from the street in a landscaped setting. Suburban workplaces often contain a single large-scale use or a cluster of uses within a master planned development. New larger proposed industrial uses are encouraged to apply for a planned development district.

In order to provide adequate transportation access in suburban workplaces connected roads, public transportation and pedestrian facilities should be encouraged. Walkways to workplace-serving uses are encouraged for workplace employees. Development within suburban workplace form districts may need significant buffering from abutting uses.

TECHNICAL REVIEW

- All agency review comments have been addressed.

STAFF CONCLUSIONS

The proposal is ready for a public hearing date to be set.


NOTIFICATION

| Date | Purpose of Notice | Recipients |
|---------|-------------------------------|---|
| 3/26/15 | Hearing before LD&T on 4/9/15 | 1 st and 2 nd tier adjoining property owners Subscribers of Council District 10 Notification of Development Proposals |
| | Hearing before PC / BOZA | 1 st and 2 nd tier adjoining property owners Speakers at Planning Commission public hearing Subscribers of Council District ___ Notification of Development Proposals |
| | Hearing before PC / BOZA | Sign Posting on property |
| | Hearing before PC / BOZA | Legal Advertisement in the Courier-Journal |


ATTACHMENTS

1. Zoning Map
2. Aerial Photograph
3. Proposed Binding Elements

1. Zoning Map



2. Aerial Photograph



3. **Proposed Binding Elements**

1. The development shall be in accordance with the approved district development plan, all applicable sections of the Land Development Code (LDC) and agreed upon binding elements unless amended pursuant to the Land Development Code. Any changes/additions/alterations of any binding element(s) shall be submitted to the Planning Commission or the Planning Commission's designee for review and approval; any changes/additions/alterations not so referred shall not be valid.
2. The development shall not exceed ~~237,293~~ ^{240,000} square feet of gross floor area.
3. No outdoor advertising signs, small freestanding signs, pennants, balloons, or banners shall be permitted on the site.
4. Construction fencing shall be erected when off-site trees or tree canopy exists within 3' of a common property line. Fencing shall be in place prior to any grading or construction to protect the existing root systems from compaction. The fencing shall enclose the entire area beneath the tree canopy and shall remain in place until all construction is completed. No parking, material storage or construction activities are permitted within the protected area.
5. Before any permit (including but not limited to building, parking lot, change of use, site disturbance, alteration permit or demolition permit) is requested:
 - a. The development plan must receive full construction approval from Louisville Metro Department of Inspections, Permits and Licenses, Louisville Metro Public Works and the Metropolitan Sewer District.
 - b. Encroachment permits must be obtained from the Kentucky Department of Transportation, Bureau of Highways.
 - c. The property owner/developer must obtain approval of a detailed plan for screening (buffering/landscaping) as described in Chapter 10 prior to requesting a building permit. Such plan shall be implemented prior to occupancy of the site and shall be maintained thereafter.
 - d. A Tree Preservation Plan in accordance with Chapter 10 of the LDC shall be reviewed and approved prior to obtaining approval for site disturbance.
6. *What permit is needed for truck storage*
A certificate of occupancy must be received from the appropriate code enforcement department prior to occupancy of the structure or land for the proposed use. All binding elements requiring action and approval must be implemented prior to requesting issuance of the certificate of occupancy, unless specifically waived by the Planning Commission.
7. The applicant, developer, or property owner shall provide copies of these binding elements to tenants, purchasers, contractors, subcontractors and other parties engaged in development of this site and shall advise them of the content of these binding elements. These binding elements shall run with the land and the owner of the property and occupant of the property shall at all times be responsible for compliance with these binding elements. At all times during development of the site, the applicant and developer, their heirs, successors; and assignees, contractors, subcontractors, and other parties engaged in development of the site, shall be responsible for compliance with these binding elements.
8. The materials and design of proposed structures shall be substantially the same as depicted in the rendering as presented at the _____ Planning Commission meeting.
9. The Owner/Developer shall install a 12 foot wide concrete boarding area from sidewalk to the curb/edge of pavement, and install a trash receptacle at the Poplar Level at Produce transit stop.

Pre-Application Staff Report

October 30, 2014



| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Case No: | 14zone1046 |
| Request: | Change in zoning from R-4 to M-2 |
| Project Name: | Premier Packaging |
| Location: | 3900 Produce Road |
| Owner: | Prempack Properties |
| Applicant: | Prempack Properties |
| Representative: | Gresham Smith and Partners; Valenti Hanley and Robinson PLLC. |
| Jurisdiction: | Louisville Metro |
| Council District: | 10-Jim King |
| Case Manager: | Julia Williams, AICP, Planner II |

REQUEST

- Change in zoning
- District Development plan

CASE SUMMARY/BACKGROUND/SITE CONTEXT

Existing Zoning District: R-4
Proposed Zoning District: M-2
Existing Form District: SW
Existing Use: Vacant
Proposed Use: Trailer storage
Minimum Parking Spaces Required: ??
Maximum Parking Spaces Allowed: ??
Parking Spaces Proposed: 109 Spaces

The proposal is for the expansion of an existing business into a residential swath of land that has been preserved along the frontage of the subject site but also along the adjacent properties to the north and south. The site is surrounded by other M-2 industrial properties to the north, south, and east. Across Poplar Level Road is more of a mix of commercial and industrial zoned properties.

LAND USE/ZONING DISTRICT/FORM DISTRICT TABLE

| | Land Use | Zoning | Form District |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Subject Property | | | |
| Existing | Vacant | R-4 | SW |
| Proposed | Trailer storage | M-2 | SW |
| Surrounding Properties | | | |
| North | Industrial | M-2 | SW |
| South | Industrial | R-4/M-2 | SW |
| East | Industrial | M-2 | SW |
| West | Commercial/Industrial | C-1/C-2/M-2 | N |

PREVIOUS CASES ON SITE

None.

INTERESTED PARTY COMMENTS

None received.

APPLICABLE PLANS AND POLICIES

Cornerstone 2020
Land Development Code

STANDARD OF REVIEW FOR REZONING

Criteria for granting the proposed form district change/rezoning: KRS Chapter 100.213

1. The proposed form district/rezoning change complies with the applicable guidelines and policies Cornerstone 2020; **OR**
2. The existing form district/zoning classification is inappropriate and the proposed classification is appropriate; **OR**
3. There have been major changes of an economic, physical, or social nature within the area involved which were not anticipated in Cornerstone 2020 which have substantially altered the basic character of the area.

STAFF ANALYSIS FOR REZONING

Following is staff's analysis of the proposed rezoning against the Guidelines and Policies of Cornerstone 2020.

The site is located in the Suburban Workplace Form District

A Suburban Workplace is a form characterized by predominately industrial and office uses where the buildings are set back from the street in a landscaped setting. Suburban workplaces often contain a single large-scale use or a cluster of uses within a master planned development. New larger proposed industrial uses are encouraged to apply for a planned development district.

In order to provide adequate transportation access in suburban workplaces connected roads, public transportation and pedestrian facilities should be encouraged. Walkways to workplace-serving uses are encouraged for workplace employees. Development within suburban workplace form districts may need significant buffering from abutting uses.

All other agency comments should be addressed to demonstrate compliance with the remaining Guidelines and Policies of Cornerstone 2020.

A checklist is attached to the end of this staff report with a more detailed analysis. The Louisville Metro Planning Commission is charged with making a recommendation to the Louisville Metro Council regarding the appropriateness of this zoning map amendment. The Louisville Metro Council has zoning authority over the property in question.

TECHNICAL REVIEW

- See agency comments for development plan review comments.
 - The storage areas are to be screened from view from the residential zone. 4.4.8.E.4
 - A 50' LBA and 6' berm is required between the site and the adjacent residentially zoned property.

STAFF CONCLUSIONS

The proposal is ready for a neighborhood meeting.


NOTIFICATION

| Date | Purpose of Notice | Recipients |
|------|--------------------------|--|
| | Hearing before LD&T | 1 st and 2 nd tier adjoining property owners Speakers at Planning Commission public hearing Subscribers of Council District __ Notification of Development Proposals |
| | Hearing before PC / BOZA | 1 st and 2 nd tier adjoining property owners Speakers at Planning Commission public hearing Subscribers of Council District __ Notification of Development Proposals |
| | Hearing before PC / BOZA | Sign Posting on property |
| | Hearing before PC / BOZA | Legal Advertisement in the Courier-Journal |

ATTACHMENTS

1. Zoning Map
2. Aerial Photograph
3. Cornerstone 2020 Staff Checklist

2. Aerial Photograph



3. Cornerstone 2020 Staff Checklist

- + Exceeds Guideline
 ✓ Meets Guideline
 - Does Not Meet Guideline
 +/- More Information Needed
 NA Not Applicable

| # | Cornerstone 2020 Guidelines & Policies | Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element | Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element | Pre-App Finding | Pre-App Comments |
|---|---|---|--|-----------------|--|
| 1 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form | B.10: The proposal integrates into the pattern of development, which features buildings set back from the street in a landscaped setting. | ✓ | The proposal integrates into the mixed pattern of development along Poplar Level Road. Buildings are typically setback from the street but not in a consistent landscaped setting. |
| 2 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form | B.10: The proposal integrates into a planned development that features a mixture of related uses, and that may contain either a single major use or a cluster of uses. | ✓ | The proposal is part of an established industrial area north of Poplar Level Road. A variety of uses are within the existing industrial area. |
| 3 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form | B.10: The proposal incorporates connected roads, encourages access to public transportation, and provides for pedestrians. | +/- | The proposal will not affect existing roads and will also not propose new roads. More Information on access to transit and pedestrians is necessary. |
| 4 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.2: The proposed building materials increase the new development's compatibility. | NA | No new building is proposed. |
| 5 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.4/5/6/7: The proposal does not constitute a non-residential expansion into an existing residential area, or demonstrates that despite such an expansion, impacts on existing residences (including traffic, parking, signs, lighting, noise, odor and stormwater) are appropriately mitigated. | ✓ | The proposal is not a non-residential expansion into a residential area. |
| 6 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.5: The proposal mitigates any potential odor or emissions associated with the development. | +/- | APCD is reviewing the proposal. |
| 7 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.6: The proposal mitigates any adverse impacts of its associated traffic on nearby existing communities. | +/- | Transportation planning is reviewing the proposal for traffic impacts. |
| 8 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.8: The proposal mitigates adverse impacts of its lighting on nearby properties, and on the night sky. | ✓ | Lighting will meet LDC requirements. |

| # | Cornerstone 2020 Guidelines & Policies | Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element | Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element | Pre-App Finding | Pre-App Comments |
|----|---|--|---|-----------------|--|
| 9 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.11: If the proposal is a higher density or intensity use, it is located along a transit corridor AND in or near an activity center. | √ | The proposal is for the high intensity M-2 zoning and is located along a transit corridor as well as within an existing mixed use activity center. |
| 10 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.21: The proposal provides appropriate transitions between uses that are substantially different in scale and intensity or density of development such as landscaped buffer yards, vegetative berms, compatible building design and materials, height restrictions, or setback requirements. | +/- | The proposal is located adjacent to R-4 zoning but the land is not residentially used. More information on the R-4 boundary is necessary before determining compliance. |
| 11 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.22: The proposal mitigates the impacts caused when incompatible developments unavoidably occur adjacent to one another by using buffers that are of varying designs such as landscaping, vegetative berms and/or walls, and that address those aspects of the development that have the potential to adversely impact existing area developments. | +/- | More information on the 15' required LBA along Poplar Level and Produce is necessary to determine compliance. |
| 12 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.23: Setbacks, lot dimensions and building heights are compatible with those of nearby developments that meet form district standards. | √ | No new building is proposed. The setbacks in the area are mixed and the trailer area would not be a significant change in the Poplar Level view shed. |
| 13 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.24: Parking, loading and delivery areas located adjacent to residential areas are designed to minimize adverse impacts of lighting, noise and other potential impacts, and that these areas are located to avoid negatively impacting motorists, residents and pedestrians. | √ | The loading and storage areas located adjacent to the existing residential zone will not have any adverse impacts because the adjacent R-4 site is not residentially used nor it is an established residential area. |
| 14 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.24: The proposal includes screening and buffering of parking and circulation areas adjacent to the street, and uses design features or landscaping to fill gaps created by surface parking lots. Parking areas and garage doors are oriented to the side or back of buildings rather than to the street. | +/- | More information on the 15' required LBA along Poplar Level and Produce is necessary to determine compliance. |
| 15 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.25: Parking garages are integrated into their surroundings and provide an active, inviting street-level appearance. | NA | A parking garage is not proposed. |
| 16 | Form District Goals G1, G2, G3, G4, Objectives G1.1, G2.1-2.5, G3.1-3.3, G4.1-4.4 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.28: Signs are compatible with the form district pattern and contribute to the visual quality of their surroundings. | NA | No new signs are proposed. |

| # | Cornerstone 2020 Guidelines & Policies | Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element | Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element | Pre-App Finding | Pre-App Comments |
|----|--|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 17 | Livability Goals H3 and H5, all related objectives | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 4: Open Space | A.2/3/7: The proposal provides open space that helps meet the needs of the community as a component of the development and provides for the continued maintenance of that open space. | √ | Open space is being provided on site at the corner of Poplar Level and Produce as well as at Poplar Level and the access easement. |
| 18 | Livability Goals H3 and H5, all related objectives | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 4: Open Space | A.4: Open space design is consistent with the pattern of development in the Neighborhood Form District. | NA | The proposal is not located in the NFD. |
| 19 | Livability Goals H3 and H5, all related objectives | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 4: Open Space | A.5: The proposal integrates natural features into the pattern of development. | - | The proposal calls for the removal of a treed area that is approximately 2.3 acres for trailer storage. |
| 20 | Livability Goals H3 and H5, all related objectives | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 5: Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources | A.1: The proposal respects the natural features of the site through sensitive site design, avoids substantial changes to the topography and minimizes property damage and environmental degradation resulting from disturbance of natural systems. | - | The proposal calls for the removal of a treed area that is approximately 2.3 acres for trailer storage. |
| 21 | Livability Goals H3 and H5, all related objectives | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 5: Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources | A.2/4: The proposal includes the preservation, use or adaptive reuse of buildings, sites, districts and landscapes that are recognized as having historical or architectural value, and, if located within the impact area of these resources, is compatible in height, bulk, scale, architecture and placement. | +/- | Historic Preservation is reviewing the proposal. |
| 22 | Livability Goals H3 and H5, all related objectives | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 5: Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources | A.6: Encourage development to avoid wet or highly permeable soils, severe, steep or unstable slopes with the potential for severe erosion. | NA | Soils are not an issue with the site. |
| 23 | People, Jobs and Housing Goal K4, Objective K4.1 | Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability | A.1: Limit land uses in workplace districts to those land uses necessary to meet the needs of the industrial subdivision or workplace district and their employees. | √ | The proposal is for an expansion of an existing business within an existing industrial subdivision. |
| 24 | People, Jobs and Housing Goal K4, Objective K4.1 | Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability | A.3: Encourage redevelopment, reinvestment and rehabilitation in the downtown where it is consistent with the form district pattern. | NA | The proposal is not located in a downtown. |
| 25 | Marketplace Strategy Goal A1, Objectives A1.3, A1.4, A1.5 | Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability | A.4: Encourage industries to locate in industrial subdivisions or adjacent to existing industry to take advantage of special infrastructure needs. | √ | The expansion of the existing industrial business is occurring within an existing industrial subdivision. |
| 26 | Land Use and Transportation Connection Goal E1, Objectives E1.1 and E1.3 | Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability | A.6: Locate retail commercial development in activity centers. Locate uses generating large amounts of traffic on a major arterial, at the intersection of two minor arterials or at locations with good access to a major arterial and where the proposed use will not adversely affect adjacent areas. | √ | The proposal is located at the intersection of two arterials. |

| # | Cornerstone 2020 Guidelines & Policies | Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element | Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element | Pre-App Finding | Pre-App Comments |
|----|--|---|--|-----------------|--|
| 27 | Land Use and Transportation Connection Goal E1, Objectives E1.1 and E1.3 | Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability | A.8: Require industrial development with more than 100 employees to locate on or near an arterial street, preferably in close proximity to an expressway interchange. Require industrial development with less than 100 employees to locate on or near an arterial street. | +/- | More information is necessary. |
| 28 | Mobility Goals A1-A6, B1, C1, D1, E1, E2, F1, G1, H1-H4, I1-I7, all related Objectives | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation | A.1/2: The proposal will contribute its proportional share of the cost of roadway improvements and other services and public facilities made necessary by the development through physical improvements to these facilities, contribution of money, or other means. | +/- | Transportation planning is reviewing the proposal. |
| 29 | Mobility Goals A1-A6, B1, C1, D1, E1, E2, F1, G1, H1-H4, I1-I7, all related Objectives | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation | A.3/4: The proposal promotes mass transit, bicycle and pedestrian use and provides amenities to support these modes of transportation. | +/- | More information is necessary. |
| 30 | Mobility Goals A1-A6, B1, C1, D1, E1, E2, F1, G1, H1-H4, I1-I7, all related Objectives | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation | A.6: The proposal's transportation facilities are compatible with and support access to surrounding land uses, and contribute to the appropriate development of adjacent lands. The proposal includes at least one continuous roadway through the development, adequate street stubs, and relies on cul-de-sacs only as short side streets or where natural features limit development of "through" roads. | √ | There are at least two access points to and from the site. An access easement off of Poplar Level is shared with the industrial site to the south. |
| 31 | Mobility Goals A1-A6, B1, C1, D1, E1, E2, F1, G1, H1-H4, I1-I7, all related Objectives | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation | A.9: The proposal includes the dedication of rights-of-way for street, transit corridors, bikeway and walkway facilities within or abutting the development. | +/- | Transportation Planning is reviewing the proposal. |
| 32 | Mobility Goals A1-A6, B1, C1, D1, E1, E2, F1, G1, H1-H4, I1-I7, all related Objectives | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation | A.10: The proposal includes adequate parking spaces to support the use. | +/- | More information is necessary. |
| 33 | Mobility Goals A1-A6, B1, C1, D1, E1, E2, F1, G1, H1-H4, I1-I7, all related Objectives | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation | A.13/16: The proposal provides for joint and cross access through the development and to connect to adjacent development sites. | √ | There are at least two access points to and from the site. An access easement off of Poplar Level is shared with the industrial site to the south. |
| 34 | Mobility Goals A1-A6, B1, C1, D1, E1, E2, F1, G1, H1-H4, I1-I7, all related Objectives | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 8: Transportation Facility Design | A.8: Adequate stub streets are provided for future roadway connections that support and contribute to appropriate development of adjacent land. | NA | No new roadways are being created. |

| # | Cornerstone 2020 Guidelines & Policies | Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element | Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element | Pre-App Finding | Pre-App Comments |
|----|--|--|---|-----------------|--|
| 35 | Mobility Goals A1-A6, B1, C1, D1, E1, E2, F1, G1, H1-H4, I1-I7, all related Objectives | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 8: Transportation Facility Design | A.9: Avoid access to development through areas of significantly lower intensity or density if such access would create a significant nuisance. | √ | Access to the development is through similarly zoned areas. |
| 36 | Mobility Goals A1-A6, B1, C1, D1, E1, E2, F1, G1, H1-H4, I1-I7, all related Objectives | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 8: Transportation Facility Design | A.11: The development provides for an appropriate functional hierarchy of streets and appropriate linkages between activity areas in and adjacent to the development site. | NA | No new roadways are being created. |
| 37 | Mobility Goals A1-A6, B1, C1, D1, E1, E2, F1, G1, H1-H4, I1-I7, all related Objectives | Mobility/Transportation Guideline 9: Bicycle, Pedestrian and Transit | A.1/2: The proposal provides, where appropriate, for the movement of pedestrians, bicyclists and transit users around and through the development, provides bicycle and pedestrian connections to adjacent developments and to transit stops, and is appropriately located for its density and intensity. | +/- | More information is necessary. |
| 38 | Livability, Goals B1, B2, B3, B4, Objectives B1.1-1.8, B2.1-2.7, B3.1-3.4, B4.1-4.3 | Livability/Environment Guideline 10: Flooding and Stormwater | The proposal's drainage plans have been approved by MSD, and the proposal mitigates negative impacts to the floodplain and minimizes impervious area. Solid blue line streams are protected through a vegetative buffer, and drainage designs are capable of accommodating upstream runoff assuming a fully-developed watershed. If streambank restoration or preservation is necessary, the proposal uses best management practices. | +/- | MSD is reviewing the proposal. |
| 39 | Livability Goals C1, C2, C3, C4, all related Objectives | Livability/Environment Guideline 12: Air Quality | The proposal has been reviewed by APCD and found to not have a negative impact on air quality. | +/- | APCD is reviewing the proposal. |
| 40 | Livability, Goals F1 and F2, all related objectives | Livability/Environment Guideline 13: Landscape Character | A.3: The proposal includes additions and connections to a system of natural corridors that can provide habitat areas and allow for migration. | - | Any natural area on the site is being lost because the proposal calls for the removal of a treed area that is approximately 2.3 acres for trailer storage. |
| 41 | Quality of Life Goal J1, Objectives J1.1-1.2 | Community Facilities Guideline 14: Infrastructure | A.2: The proposal is located in an area served by existing utilities or planned for utilities. | √ | Existing utilities will serve the site. |
| 42 | Quality of Life Goal J1, Objectives J1.1-1.2 | Community Facilities Guideline 14: Infrastructure | A.3: The proposal has access to an adequate supply of potable water and water for fire-fighting purposes. | √ | An adequate water supply is available to the site. |
| 43 | Quality of Life Goal J1, Objectives J1.1-1.2 | Community Facilities Guideline 14: Infrastructure | A.4: The proposal has adequate means of sewage treatment and disposal to protect public health and to protect water quality in lakes and streams. | +/- | The health department is reviewing the proposal. |